L13.3:209

WOMEN WORKERS

IN TEN WAR PRODUCTION AREAS

AND

THEIR POSTWAR EMPLOYMENT PLANS



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

L. B. SCHWELLENBACH, Secretary

WOMEN'S BUREAU FRIEDA S. MILLER, Director

WOMEN WORKERS IN TEN WAR PRODUCTION AREAS AND THEIR POSTWAR EMPLOYMENT PLANS



Bulletin of the Women's Bureau No. 209

UNITED STATES
OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1946

CONTENTS

	Page
Letter of Transmittal I. Major Findings II. Plan of Report	vn 1 1
III. Areas and Workers Surveyed.	1
PART I	
Increase in the Employment of Women and Major Sources of Increase	3
Increase from 1940 to 1944 or 1945 in the number of women employed. Prewar employment status.	3
Length of work experience Prewar residence. Extent to which wartime-employed women planned to remain in the	4
labor force, in war areas or elsewhere. Relation of prewar employment status and length of work experience to	4
postwar employment plans. Relation of prewar residence to postwar employment plans.	5
PART II	
Changes in Industries and Occupations.	7
Increase in the employment of women in specific industries from 1940 to	7
the war period Changes in the industry and occupation of women employed both before and during the war.	8
Wartime distribution by industry and occupation of women not in the prewar labor force Proportion of wartime-employed women in different industrial and	10
occupational groups who planned to remain in the labor force Extent to which wartime-employed women who planned to remain in the labor force wanted postwar work in the same industries and	11
occupations Comparison of the number of war-employed women in each industrial group who planned to remain in the area labor force with the number	-11
employed in 1940.	- 12
PART III	
Earnings of Wartime-Employed Women	14
PART IV	
Personal Characteristics.	17
Marital status	17
Age	17
Race. Education.	18
PART V	
Responsibility for Family Support.	19
Reasons wartime-employed women planned to remain in the labor force. Regular contributions to family expenses by women workers living in	19
family groups. Regular contributions to the support of others by women workers living	19
alone	2 2
Income other than wages Care of children of women wartime workers.	2
PART VI	
Postwar Problems and Outline of Action Needed	2

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX A	Page
Seems and Method	26
Scope and Method. Summary information of areas surveyed. Table I.—Population and employment, 14 years and over, for specified areas—	27
March 1940	28
APPENDIX B—TABLES	
Part I. Increase in the Employment of Women and Major Sources of Increase	29
 Number of women employed in 1940 and 1944-45 and percent of increase, by area. 	29
 Employment status the week before Pearl Harbor of women employed in 1944 –45, by area. 	29
Length of work experience before 1944-45 of women employed in the	30
war period, by area. 4. Number and proportion of women employed in the war period who were in-migrants, by area.	30
Number of women employed in 1944-45 and number and percent who planned to continue work and to continue work in the respective areas,	31
by area. 6. Comparison of the number of women employed in 1940 and the number employed in 1944-45 who planned to continue work in the	31
respective areas, by area. 7. Employment status the week before Pearl Harbor of wartime-	31
employed women who planned to continue work, by area. 8. Percent of wartime-employed women with specified types of employment status the week before Pearl Harbor who planned to continue	32
work after the war, by area. 9. Length of work experience before 1944-45 of wartime-employed	32
women who planned to continue work, by area	33
 Percent of women employed in the war period who planned to continue work, by length of work experience and area. 	33
11. Proportions of in-migrant women employed in the war period who planned (1) to continue work, (2) to stay in the war area, and (3) to continue work in the war area, in areas where in-migrants were an	
important part of the employed women. 12. Number and proportion of in-migrants among the women employed	34
in the war period who planned to continue work in the same area, in areas where in-migrants were an important part of the employed women.	34
Part II. Changes in Industries and Occupations	
1. Percent of women employed in specified industrial groups in 1940	
and 1944–45, by area. 2. Number of women employed in selected industrial groups in 1940	35
and 1944-45, by area. 3. Extent of shifts in industrial group by women employed both in	36
1944 45 and the week before Pearl Harbor, by area	37
 Extent of shifts in occupational group by women employed both in 1944-45 and the week before Pearl Harbor, by area. 	38
Industrial distribution in 1944-45 of women who were in school or engaged in their own housework the week before Pearl Harbor, by area	39
 Occupational distribution in 1944-45 of women who were in school or engaged in their own housework the week before Pearl Harbor, 	40
 Percent of women employed in the war period in specified industrial 	41
groups who planned to continue work, by area. S. Percent of women employed in the war period in specified occupa-	41
tional groups who planned to continue work, by area 9. Percent of war-employed women planning to remain in the labor force who planned to continue work in the same industrial group as	*1
their wartime employment, by area. 10. Percent of war-employed women planning to remain in the labor	42
force who planned to continue work in the same occupational group	42
as their wartime employment, by area. 11. Comparison of the number of women employed in 1940 in specified industrial groups with the number employed in the war period who	
planned to remain in the area labor force, by area.	43

APPENDIX B_TABLES_Continued

	The
Part III. Earnings of Wartime-Employed Women	
 Average weekly take-home earnings of women employed in the war period in selected industrial groups, by area. Average weekly take-home earnings of women employed in the war period as operatives and clerical workers in factories making war goods and in factories making consumer goods, by area. 	44
Part IV. Personal Characteristics	
 Marital status of women employed in the war period and of women who planned to continue work, by area. Percent of women employed in the war period who planned to con- 	45
tinue work, by marital status and area. 3. Age of women employed in the war period and of women who planned	45
to continue work, by area. 4. Percent of women employed in the war period who planned to con-	46
tinue work, by age and area. 5. Race of women employed in the war period and of women who clared to continue work by area.	47
planned to continue work, by area. 6. Percent of women employed in the war period who planned to continue work, by racial group and area.	47
tinue work, by racial group and area. 7. Extent of school education of women employed in the war period and of women who planned to continue work, by area.	48
 Percent of women employed in the war period who planned to con- tinue work, by extent of school education and area. 	48
Part V. Responsibility for Family Support	
1. Reasons women employed in the war period planned to continue work,	
by marital status and area 2. Living arr angements among all women employed in the war period and among women who planned to continue work, by marital status	49
and area 3. Percent of women living in family groups who contributed regularly to family expenses, among all women employed in the war period and among women who planned to continue work, by marital status	50
and area. 4. Percent of women living in family groups and contributing regularly to family expenses who contributed specified proportions of takehome earnings, among all women employed in the war period and among women who planned to continue work, by martial status	50
and area. 5. Percent of take-home earnings contributed to family expenses by women who lived in family groups and contributed regularly to family	51
expenses, among all women employed in the war period and among women who planned to continue work, by marital status and area 6. Percent of women living in family groups who were the sole contribut- ing wage earners and who were one of two contributing wage earners in the family group, among all women employed in the war period and	52
among women who planned to continue work, by marital status and area.	53
Percent of women living apart from family groups who contributed regularly to the support of others among all women employed in the war period and among women who planned to continue work, by	
marital status and area. 8. Percent of women employed in the war period who reported income from sources other than wages or service allotments, by area.	54
 Percent of women employed in the war period and living in family groups who had children of their own under 14 years of age in the household, and percent of married, widowed, or divorced women who 	
had children of their own in the household, by area. 10. Percent of war-employed women living with own children who had	55
specified number of own children in household, by area	55
employed women who lived with own children, by area	56

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.

Women's Bureau.

Washington, June 7, 1946.

Six: I have the honor of transmitting the results of a survey among women employed in the war period in 10 war-congested manufacturing areas located throughout the country. This report presents the manifold war-wrought changes in the employment of women—the unprecedented increase in their numbers, their industrial and occupational distribution, earnings, and personal characteristics. By interviewing the women workers in their homes, the Women's Bureau obtained a panoramic picture of their dependence on their own earnings for a livelihood, for themselves and the families they help to support or support unaided.

The need to work of an overwhelmingly large proportion of the women and their expressed intentions to remain in gainful employment after the war pose a variety of postwar readjustment problems. It is hoped that the evidence gathered in this survey will aid in the formulation of national and local programs to meet these problems.

The report was written by Sylvia R. Weissbrodt, Economic Editor, based on an analysis prepared by Constance Williams, Chief of the Bureau's Research Division. The survey was planned by Bertha M. Nienburg, former Chief Economist of the Bureau, and Mary Elizabeth Pidgeon, Chief of the Economic Studies Section. The field work was under the supervision of Eloise Ewing, Field Supervisor, and the statistical work was directed by Isadore Spring, Chief of the Statistical Section.

FRIEDA S. MILLER, Director.

Hon. L. B. Schwellenbach, Secretary of Labor,

WOMEN WORKERS IN TEN WAR PRODUCTION AREAS AND THEIR POSTWAR EMPLOYMENT PLANS

Major Findings

Three conclusions of particular postwar significance stand out from the series of home interviews by representatives of the Women's Bureau with women who were employed in 10 war production areas in 1944 and 1945.

First, the war brought about great increases in the number of women employed in each of the 10 areas and in the number of women who

planned to remain in the labor force in the respective areas.

Second, there were tremendous increases in the proportions of women employed in industries producing directly for war purposes, and the take-home earnings of these women considerably exceeded the takehome earnings of women employed in other industries.

Third, a high proportion of the women employed during the war period reported that they carried heavy economic responsibilities at home, and a high proportion of those who planned to continue working

after the war gave economic reasons for their decisions.

II. Plan of Report

The findings on which these conclusions rest are presented in Parts I through V. Part VI is devoted to the postwar problems posed by this survey.

Because the chief contribution to postwar planning lies in the emphasis the findings place on the common problems and characteristics of women workers in the war production areas as a whole, rather than in the details for individual areas, the text deals with the 10 areas combined. Following the text is a series of tables showing in detail the results of the investigation. Each table is preceded by a statement pointing up its over-all significance. Readers interested in the relative standing of individual areas on particular questions can gain this information by reference to the tables themselves, which follow the same sequence as the text.

Since the postwar problems of women workers in these war production areas are primarily the result of war changes in their number, personal characteristics, industrial distribution, carnings, and economic responsibilities, it is hoped that this survey by the Women's Bureau will help in developing postwar programs to meet these problems and to enable women workers to contribute most effectively to local and

national prosperity.

III. Areas and Workers Surveyed

The 10 areas chosen for survey represented wide geographical distribution and varying types of war production centers, differing greatly in size. Three areas were in the eastern part of the United States: the Springfield-Holvoke area in Massachusetts, the Baltimore metropolitan area (excluding Annapolis) in Maryland, and Eric County, or the Buffalo area, in New York State. Four areas were in the north and west central part of the country: the Dayton-Springfield area in Ohio, the Detroit-Willow Run area in Michigan, the Kenosha area in Wisconsin, and the Wichita area in Kansas. One southern area was included, Mobile metropolitan, Alabama, and two areas from the west coast, the Seattle-Tacoma metropolitan area in Washington and the San Francisco-Oakland metropolitan area in California.

By size of population (14 years of age and over) in 1940 the areas ranged from the Detroit area with a population of nearly two million to the Kenosha area with a population of a little less than 40,000. The San Francisco area had over a million population in 1940, the Baltimore area, over 800,000, and the Buffalo area, over 600,000. The Seattle area had about half a million; three areas had between 100,000 and 400,000, whereas the Mobile area had slightly less than 100,000. Details on population and employment in 1940 are shown for each of the areas in Table I of Appendix A.

Over 13,000 employed women were interviewed by representatives of the Women's Bureau in the 10 areas in 1944 or 1945. In general the midwest areas were interviewed in the spring of 1944, the eastern areas next, and Mobile and the west coast areas last, in early 1945. The women workers interviewed in each area were representative

of all industries and occupations except household employment. Household employees were omitted because of the difficulty in conducting interviews in the homes of their employers. The data presented in this report, therefore, exclude household employees unless otherwise specified.

For further discussion of the methods of sampling and collection

For further discussion of the methods of sampling and collection see Appendix A.

³ Hereafter the areas will be identified by the names of the principal cities only, as follows: Springfield, Baltimore, Buffalo, Dayton, Detruit, Kenosha, Wichita, Mohile, Seattle, and San Franceso.

PART I. INCREASE IN THE EMPLOYMENT OF WOMEN AND MAJOR SOURCES OF INCREASE

INCREASE FROM 1940 TO 1944 OR 1945 IN THE NUMBER OF WOMEN EMPLOYED

An unprecedented increase occurred during the war in the number of women employed in work other than household employment in the 10 war production areas surveyed. In 8 of the 10 areas the employment of women approximately doubled or more than doubled from 1940 to the time of the survey in 1944 or 1945. In the other two areas the employment of women increased by more than one half,2

Quantitatively, the increases were greatest in the two areas which were among the foremost of the Nation's war-producing centers. The Detroit area absorbed almost 205 thousand additional women workers between 1940 and 1944-45 (outside household employment), or an increase from 182 thousand to about 387 thousand. In the San Francisco area approximately 137 thousand additional women joined the ranks of working women, swelling the 1944-45 total to about 275 thousand. Relatively, the increase was most marked in the Mobile area where only 7,800 women were employed outside household employment in 1940, compared to 27,000 at the time of the survey, or more than three times the 1940 level. The lowest percentage change, though sizable enough, was in the Springfield area with an increase of 55 percent.

PREWAR EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Almost half of the women employed in the war period in most of the 10 areas had not wanted jobs the week before Pearl Harbor. Of these wartime entrants into the labor force, a little over half had been engaged in their own housework * and the rest had been in school. The Wichita and Seattle areas drew most heavily on these sources. Here women previously engaged in their own housework or attending school constituted 55 and 50 percent respectively of the wartimeemployed group.

Of the women employed in the war period, almost all who had wanted jobs the week before Pearl Harbor had been employed; only about 2 percent of all the wartime-employed women had been unemployed and seeking work the week before Pearl Harbor.

The fact, however, that almost half of the war-employed women were not in the labor force the week before Pearl Harbor does not necessarily mean that their wartime employment represented their first jobs. Some of them had worked in former years but had since

³ The employment of women (including household employees) in the United States as a whole

The employment of women (including bounded employers) in the United States as a whole (figures as of Manch for the 2 years). Figures enforting household employees are not validable for the country as a whole, but if they were, the present of increase would be greater, for it is the property of the "State Paul Refere was Domine", 2. Htt. this character is for the first week of December 2. The property of the pro the time of the survey

^{*}A very few women who were not in the labor force the week before Pearl Harbor, and gave reasons other than school or their own housework, were counted in this group-

withdrawn from the labor market. When war conditions created the need for their work and an opportunity for employment, they again took their place among the working women.

LENGTH OF WORK EXPERIENCE®

Despite the influx of many newcomers into the labor market during the war period, the group of wartime-employed women contained a markedly high proportion of women with extended work experience. Wartime employment for these women was not, therefore, a venture into something new but rather part of their continuing work experience.

In the 10 areas, on the average, almost half of the warring-quarter women half half at least 5 years' experience at the time of the upday, and almost 20 percent half had at least 10 years' experience. In four areas particularly, women with 10 or more years' experience constituted over one-third of the warring-employed group—Springfield, Kenoshi, and the two west coast, areas.

The remaining half of the war-employed women, those with less than 5 years' experience, was about equally divided between the women with 2 to 5 years' experience and those with less than 2 years' experience.

PREWAR RESIDENCE

Evidence of the large scale geographical shifts in the working population which took place during the war period is offered by the substantial number of in-migrants among the wartime women workers. Although each area was not affected to the same degree, the fact that such large numbers of in-migrants were attracted to several of the war production areas raises a special problem that awaits postwar solution.

There was a particularly heavy influx of women workers from other parts of the country into four areas where in-migrants represented 40 percent or more of the entire group of wartime-employed women; in the Whichita area they were 57 percent, in the Mobile area they were 47 percent, in the San Francisco area, 46 percent, and in the Dayton area, 40 percent. In the Seattle and Baltimore areas imagrants were about a third of the employed women, and in the Detroit area, about 14 percent. In only three areas—Buffalo, Kenesha, and Springfield—did in-migrants represent less than 12 percent of the war-employed women.

EXTENT TO WHICH WARTIME-EMPLOYED WOMEN PLANNED TO REMAIN IN THE LABOR FORCE, IN WAR AREAS OR ELSEWHERE

The outstanding postwar question in any war production area is, of course, how many of the wartine workers will want jobs and how many will want them in the same area.

That very large numbers of wartime women workers intend to work after the war is evidenced by their statements to interviewers. On the average, about 75 percent of the wartime-employed women in the 10 areas expected to be part of the postwar labor force. The proportion was even higher, 80 percent or more, in four areas: Mobile, Springfield, Baltimore, and Buffalo. Between 70 and 80 percent planned on continued employment after the war in the Detroit, Dayton,

³ Previous work in paid household employment was counted as part of work experience.

and Kenosha areas. Although anticipated withdrawals from the labor market were somewhat higher in the three remaining areas, Scattle, San Francisco, and Wichita, substantial numbers—between 60 and 70 percent of the wartime-employed women—intended to remain at work.

These prospective postwar women workers did not, for the most part, contemplate out-migration from their areas of wartime employment. Over 90 percent of them, in most areas, looked forward to continued employment after the war in the same areas where they

had worked during the war period.

A guidepost to the adjustments necessary to achieve full employment after the war is provided by a comparison of the number of women who expressed their intention to remain in the area labor force with the number employed there in 1940. In each area, the number of wartime-employed women who intended to work in the same area after the war greatly exceeded the number of women employed in the area in 1940. In the Detroit area, for example, for every 100 women who were working in 1940, excluding household employees, 155 women " will want postwar jobs. About two and one-half times as many women wanted to continue working in the Mobile area as were employed in 1940. In the Buffalo area, there will have to be three jobs in the postwar period which women can fill, outside household employment, for every two jobs in 1940. In the seven other areas the increases, from the number of women employed in 1940 to the number of wartimeemployed women with postwar employment plans in the area, ranged from 22 to 49 percent inclusive.

RELATION OF PREWAR EMPLOYMENT STATUS AND LENGTH OF WORK EXPERIENCE TO POSTWAR EMPLOYMENT PLANS

The highest percentage of prospective postwar workers in most areas came from the group of women who had been employed before Pearl Harbor, rather than from those who had been in school or engaged in their own housework at that time. On the average over four-fifths of the women who had been employed both before Pearl Harbor and in the war period intended to keep on working after the war. Among the war-employed women who had not been in the labor force the week before Pearl Harbor, over three-fourths of the former students expected to continue working, while over half of those formerly engaged in their own housework had such plans. In other words, withdrawals from the labor market were expected to take place primarily among those wartime women workers previously engaged in their own housework.

The majority of the women who said they wanted jobs after the war were not those who had been swept into the labor force during its wartime expansion, but rather those who had always been working, both before and during the war period, and they hoped to find jobs after the war too. These women can therefore, be considered as among

the permanent wage earners in the economy.

This expectation of continuity of employment was found especially among the women whose first jobs antedated Pearl Harbor by a good many years. A higher proportion of the war-employed women with

^{*}All figures sided in this paragraph on postwar job needs are based solely on the expressed intentions of the women interviewed in this survey. The figures do not include the normal increments to the laber force from among those who were not yet working in 1944-16, not exclude the normal separations from the labor force; neither do they take into account the postwar job needs of vanner mulpivery as paid densities during the way.

10 or more years experience at the time of the survey intended to continue work than of those with less experience. On the average, among the war-employed women with 10 or more years' experience, about 86 percent planned to continue work, whereas among the groups with less experience, about 70 percent planned to continue.

RELATION OF PREWAR RESIDENCE TO POSTWAR EMPLOYMENT PLANS

Very large proportions of the in-migrant women workers planned to continue work in the areas where they had been employed during the war. Although in comparison to resident women employed in the war period smaller proportions of the in-migrants planned to remain in the labor force, the bulk of the in-migrants who did expect to work wanted to do so in the same area where they had been employed during the war. Consequently in the areas where in-migrants were important during the war, they also constituted a substantial proportion of the women who intended to work in the area after the war. In four of the seven areas where in-migrants were important, in-migrants constituted between 32 and 44 percent of the total group of women who planned to continue work; and in the other three areas where in migrants were important, they represented between 10 and 26 percent of the women who planned to continue work; and in the other three areas where in migrants were important, they represented between 10 and 26 percent of the women who planned to continue.

PART II. CHANGES IN INDUSTRIES AND OCCUPATIONS

INCREASE IN THE EMPLOYMENT OF WOMEN IN SPECIFIC

The outstanding war-wrought change in the industrial distribution of employed women, excluding household employees, was the spectacular increase in the proportion who were employed in the manufacturing industries. The expansion of female employment in manufacturing was so marked that in four areas more women were employed in manufacturing acturing alone in 1944 than in all industries combined in 1940 (Buffalo, Detroit, Kenesha, and Wichita). Government employment also provided an important field for women wartine workers and in some areas attracted substantially more than its prewar share of the female employed population. Trade and service industries, the traditional women-employing categories, in the prewar year of 1940 accounted for an average of roughly three-fifths of the employed women in the 10 areas. In the war period the trade and service industries dropped to second in importance to manufacturing in most areas.

Manufacturing industries in the war period employed from 25 to 58 percent of the working women in the 10 areas, in contrast with 1940 when only 7 to 43 percent of them found jobs in manufacturing. In view of the large numerical increases in the total employment of women coutside household employment) a comparison of these percentages emphasizes the remarkably high numerical increases in the employment of women in manufacturing from 1940 to the time of the survey. In the Detroit area, for example, the number of women employed in manufacturing industries increased from about 47,000 to 215,000, and in the Baltimore area, from about 26,000 to about 79,000. In the Wichita, area, where war industries grew almost from scratch, there were in 1940 only 1.200 women employed in manufacturing in-

dustries, and at the time of the survey there were 20,500.

Although the dominant change in the war period was the importance manufacturing industries assumed in the employment of women, as distinguished from the prewar period of high concentration in the trade and service industries, there were considerable differences among the areas in the degree to which this change occurred and in the extent to which the other nonmanufacturing industries were affected, reflecting, among other things, the industrial pattern of the area. In the Buffalo area, for example, there were 54,300 more women employed in all industries, outside household employment, in 1944 than in 1940, Virtually all of this increase, or 52,800, was absorbed by the manufacturing industries. Female employment in the trade and service industries declined between these two dates. On the other hand, employment gains were more widely distributed in the Scattle area. Of the increase of 68,500 women, roughly one-half was accounted for by the expanded female employment in manufacturing; one-fourth, by government employment; and the remaining fourth, by trade and service industries.

Under the impact of war needs, the government necessarily expanded its functions and services. Concurrently the number of women who found work in government employment increased sharply. Especially in the areas of Dayton, Mobile, Seattle, and San Francisco, where relatively insignificant numbers of women had been employed in government in 1940, this field provided employment for a sizable segment of the group of wartine women workers. In two of these areas, Dayton and Mobile, there were more women employed by government in the war period than had been employed in the trade and service industries in 1940. In the two west coast areas government employment provided substantially more jobs for women in 1945 than had been provided by manufacturing industries in the peacetime vear of 1940.

The trade and service industries, although constituting a lower proportion of the total group of employed women, nevertheless held their own in actual numbers, and in some areas enjoyed material expansion in female employment in the war period. The slight decrease noted earlier in the Buffalo area was in fact the only decrease in the 10 areas. In all other areas women's employment in trade and service increased from 1940 to 1944-45, and in four areas, Wichita, Mobile, Seattle, and San Francisco, the increases were substantial. This virtual absence of a decline in the number of women employed in trade and service industries in the 10 war production areas was by no means identical with stability of their personnel. On the contrary, as will be discussed in the following section, the trade and service industries were hit hardest during the war period by the exodus of regular employees to other industries, in addition to the loss of men workers to the armed forces generally experienced by all industry. That the female employment level did not decline, in the face of such an exodus, gives some indication of the turn-over in personnel which occurred.

CHANGES IN THE INDUSTRY AND OCCUPATION OF WOMEN EMPLOYED BOTH BEFORE AND DURING THE WAR

As has already been pointed out, a little over half of the women who were employed at the time of the survey had also been employed the week before Pearl Harbor. An analysis was made of the changes between these two periods in the industry and occupation of the women whose prewar employment had been in industries other than domestic service.

Changes from one industrial group to another were more common than changes from one occupational group to another. Available measures of these changes, however, underestimate the extent of change because they show shifts only between broad industrial and occupational groups and not within these groups. Thus, shifts from a consumer-goods manufacturing industry to an industry manufacturing directly for war purposes were not counted as industry shifts; nor were changes within occupational groups, due to upgrading for example, counted as occupation shifts.

All the war production areas surveyed were affected by vast movements of women employees from one industrial group to another. Transfers from the trade and service industries were so widespread that among the women who had been employed both before Pearl Harbor and in the war period as many as one-half, roughly, of those whose prewar employment had been in trade or personal service, and almost two-thirds of those who had worked in eating and drinking places, were found to be working in other industries at the time of the survey. The majority of the women who made such shifts entered war manufacturing or government employment. Most of the women who shifted from one industry to another learned new skills and carned considerably higher wages than previously. Although the bulk of those who said they expected to work after the war expressed the desire for jobs in the same industry as their wartime employment, it may be doubted that the peacetime economy will be able to absorb all of them at the same level of skill they exercised during the war. Wartime labor shortages in trade and service, however, will not be relieved, even after the war, unless the wage levels are sufficiently high to attract either former or new workers. In the midst of the war period some trade and service industries in several areas were still paving substandard or near substandard wages.

As would be expected, women who had already been employed in manufacturing or government before Pearl Harbor tended to remain in the same industrial group. In seven areas less than one-fifth of the women employed at the time of the survey who had been employed in manufacturing before Pearl Harbor changed their industrial group, This relative stability in manufacturing is in sharp contrast with the near upheaval experienced by eating and drinking establishments (restaurants, cafeterias, refreshment stands, etc.). Among the wartime-employed women previously employed in eating and drinking places, almost two-thirds were no longer working in the same industry. Other trade and service industries, though not affected as severely as the eating and drinking places, were not much better off. On the average in the 10 areas, out of every 100 wartime-employed women who had been working before Pearl Harbor in establishments commonly grouped as personal service (e.g., laundries, cleaning and dveing establishments, and beauty shops), no less than 51 left for other industries. War manufacturing claimed most of them.

The extent of the exodus from retail and wholesale trade 'establish-ments differed considerably among the areas. Out of every 100 waremployed women whose pre-Pearl Harbor employment had been in this branch of industry, the Buffalo area was able to retain only 34 women, losing fully 66 to other industries. The other areas retained higher proportions, ranging from 41 out of every 100 in Detroit to 77 out of every 100 in Wichita. It will be recalled that Buffalo was the only area which suffered a decline in female employment in the trade and service industries from 1940 to 1944. Wartime exodus from retail and wholesale trade establishments also was most severe in that area. This shift may be related to the fact that the wartime average weekly take-home carnings, reported by the women employed in this branch of industry in 1944, were lower in the Buffalo area (\$18.90) than in any of the others.

Although changes from one occupational group to another were not as common as industry shifts, there was considerable out-movement from the sales and service occupations to the machine operative and elerical occupations. Just as industry shifts showed relative stability among the women already employed in groups which in the war period attracted workers from other industries, so too do occupation shifts show a corresponding pattern. Among the war-employed women who had been working in elerical and operative occupations before Pearl Harbor, over four-fifths were found working in the same occupational groups in the war period. On the other hand, transfers from the sales

² The classification of retail and wholesale trade, throughout, excludes eating and drinking places

occupations were widespread. Out of every 100 war-employed women who had been saleswomen before Pearl Harbor, as few as 37, on the average, remained in this occupation in the war period; in the areas of Kenosha and Buffalo the numbers who remained were amazingly low—22 of every 100 in Kenosha and 25 of every 100 in Buffalo. In the service occupations, an average of slightly more than half "stuck" to these occupations in the war period, among the women for whom comparisons were made. The former saleswomen who transferred chose the two occupational groups of operative and clerical work in substantial numbers. Transferees from the service occupations favored the operative occupations in most areas.

WARTIME DISTRIBUTION BY INDUSTRY AND OCCUPATION OF WOMEN NOT IN THE PREWAR LABOR FORCE

The two major groups of women who were employed in the war period who had not been in the labor force the week before Pearl Harbor were women who previously had been in either home housework or school. Women who had been engaged in their own housework the week before Pearl Harbor constituted on the average about a fourth of the wartime-employed women, and the women who had been in school, about a fifth.

The majority of the women previously engaged in their own housework entered the manufacturing industries, primarily those producing directly for war purposes, where they comprised about a third of the female working force. In half of the 10 war production areas surveyed (Springfield, Buffalo, Detroit, Kenesha, and Wichita) the women previously engaged in their own housework literally flocked to the manulacting industries—63 to 73 percent of them entered manufacturing, where the bulk of them chose jobs as operatives. This overwhelming preference for the manufacturing industries was not equally charactersite of the southern area of Mobile, or of the west coast areas. Although the women formerly engaged in their own housework were represented in substantial numbers in the manufacturing industries in these three areas, they chose trade and service more often than manufacturing.

Former students who sought work in the war period entered a labor market that offered many job opportunities unknown or little known to women in peacetime. These young workers, with a choice of employment far wider than the more restricted field of "women's jobs," entered the manufacturing industries in greater numbers than they would have in normal years. The postwar period will therefore find many of them with employment histories markedly different from those of women who served for varying numbers of years in traditional women's jobs at lower pay before entering the manufacturing industries in wartine.

In contrast with the former homemakers who were so highly concentrated in manufacturing, the former students chose jobs in manufacturing industries and in the trade and service group in almost equal numbers. A sizable proportion also entered government employment. If we assume that the former school girls would normally have distributed themselves industrially according to the pattern that prevailed for all women in 1940, we find in each area in the war period higher proportions in the manufacturing industries and government employment, and lower proportions in the trade and service industries, than the 1940 peacetime distribution of employed women. Substantial proportions, however, on the average about 38 percent, did enter the trade and service industries in the war period, although relatively few

made this choice in the areas of Dayton and Detroit.

The former school girls showed a distinctly greater tendency to favor clerical jobs than did the women who had been engaged in their own housework. On the average in the 10 areas almost half of the former students went into clerical jobs, whereas only about a fifth of the former homemakers were attracted to this occupational group. Though not as popular as clerical work, nevertheless machine operative jobs attracted almost a third of the former students. These jobs as operatives were found primarily in manufacturing industries but also in other industries, e.g., laundries and types of government employment involving manufacturing.

PROPORTION OF WARTIME-EMPLOYED WOMEN IN DIFFERENT INDUSTRIAL AND OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS WHO PLANNED TO REMAIN IN THE LABOR FORCE

It will be recalled that on the average about 75 percent of the women employed in the 10 areas planned to continue work after the war. Although considerable numbers of the women in war manufacturing expected to remain in the labor force, those who planned to withdraw were in a somewhat higher proportion than in other industries. This picture is influenced largely by the concentration in war manufacturing of the former homenakers, more of whom intended to retire from the labor market than of other groups of war-employed women. A higher proportion of the women employed in eating and crinking establishments expected to stop working after the war than in other trade and service industries. In view of the fact that these establishments suffered the sharpest exodus of regular employees in the war period (see p. 9), it is evident from the stated intentions of the wartime workers in this branch of industry that eating and drinking establishments will again be faced with the need to recruit large numbers of employees after the war.

In general, larger proportions of the women employed in the war period in professional and semiprofessional occupations planned to continue work than did women in other occupations. These occupations, such as nursing, teaching, and managerial work, often requiring long periods of training, would obviously contain a higher proportion of the stable labor force than other occupations. On the other hand, the wartime sales group will be sharply decimated in several areas by the voluntary withdrawal after the war of large numbers of women. In the Kenosha and Wiehita areas, for example, as many as 56 and 50 percent respectively of the wartime saleswomen expected to stop works.

ing after the war.

EXTENT TO WHICH WARTIME-EMPLOYED WOMEN WHO PLANNED TO REMAIN IN THE LABOR FORCE WANTED POSTWAR WORK IN THE SAME INDUSTRIES AND OCCUPATIONS

The nature of postwar employment problems is influenced not only by the number of wartime workers who expect to remain in the labor force but also by their expressed desires for work in particular industries and occupations. Postwar job openings as cafeteria bus girls, for example, are not apt to prove attractive to women who are seeking work as serew-machine operators.

The bulk of the prospective postwar workers interviewed in this survey, or 86 percent, wanted their postwar jobs in the same industrial group as their wartime employment, and about the same proportion wanted to remain in the same occupational group. Postwar shifts to other industries were contemplated on a somewhat larger scale, however, among the wartime employees in restaurants, cafeterias. and similar establishments, as well as in the personal service industries in certain areas. In the Dayton area, for example, among the waremployed women who expected to remain in the labor force, fully 36 percent of those in eating and drinking places and 30 percent of those in personal service industries said they wanted jobs in other industries after the war. In some areas, therefore, the contemplated industry shifts, however small, when combined with the voluntary retirements from the labor market, will result in a serious depletion of the wartime working force. For example, in the Springfield area, of every 100 waremployed women in the personal service industries (chiefly laundries). 17 intended to stop work after the war. Of the 83 who expected to remain, 27 wanted postwar jobs in other industries, which would leave only 56 of the original 100 women (without allowing for possible out-migration from the area). The success that these industries will achieve in restoring the working force to its wartime level, which in itself was probably inadequate for normal peacetime operation, will depend, among other factors, on the wages offered. Women wartime workers in the laundry industry in the Springfield area reported weekly take-home earnings which averaged only \$18.95.

Although there were variations in some areas, the women who intended to work after the war showed a steadfastness, on the whole, to their wartime occupations. Only 9 percent of those in elerical occupations who expected to keep on working after the war indicated they wanted a change in occupation. A somewhat higher proportion, 18 percent, wanted a change from the operative occupations. But even this percentage is very low in the light of the tremendous wartime expansion of women employees in the machine operative occupations.

Among the relatively few prospective postwar workers who expressed a desire for a change from their wartime industries or occupations, the chief reason offered was interest in other types of work. Other reasons frequently given were, "more money," and "present work too hard." Some women said they wanted to change because they disliked their present jobs, wanted a job with a better future, wanted their own business, were impelled by health reasons, or wanted shorter hours.

COMPARISON OF THE NUMBER OF WAR-EMPLOYED WOMEN IN EACH INDUSTRIAL GROUP WHO PLANNED TO REMAIN IN THE AREA LABOR FORCE WITH THE NUMBER EMPLOYED IN 1940

Although the data collected in this survey do not furnish estimates of the total number of women who might want postwar work in particular industries and areas, they do suggest that to a large extent the women who planned to remain in the area labor force in the 10 war production areas would have to shift from manufacturing to nonmanufacturing industries, and also from government employment to other industries.

Despite the fact that a higher proportion of the war-employed women in the manufacturing industries than in other industries intended to withdraw from the labor market, the actual number of women employed in manufacturing was so high that, even after making allowance for these withdrawals as well as for contemplated out-migration from the area, the resultant number of prospective postwar workers in the respective areas greatly exceeded the 1940 female employment in manufacturing in these areas. Similarly, the number of women in government service in the war period who wanted to continue work in individual areas was far greater than the number of women in government employment in these areas in 1940. In contrast with this potential surplus of postwar women workers in manufacturing and government are the large scale potential job openings for postwar women workers in the other industries, particularly trade and service. In most areas the number of wartime-employed women in industrial groups other than manufacturing and government who intended to work in the same area after the war was less than the 1940 female employment in these industrial groups; and in the trade and service group, materially less.

These estimates of the number of wartime-employed women in each

industrial group who planned to remain in the area labor force do not take into account the postwar industry shifts which some of the women said they expected to make. It should also be noted that reference to 1940 is not intended to offer an exact basis for determining the number of postwar jobs that will exist in the particular industries in each area. Although the assumption has been made that the 1940 industrial alimement of employed women can provide some guide to the postwar pattern, it is recognized that postwar employment in various industrial alimement of employed women can provide some guide to

distribution of women workers may differ greatly from 1940.

PART III FARNINGS OF WARTIME-EMPLOYED WOMEN

Undoubtedly the opportunity for higher earnings offered by the war-manufacturing industries impelled many prewar-employed women to leave peacetime jobs for work in war-manufacturing plants and also motivated many who were not in the labor force at the outbreak of war to choose jobs in war-manufacturing rather than other industries. Women were also influenced by patriotic motives in entering plants

manufacturing directly for war nurnoses.

In this survey, information on earnings was not obtained from payrolls, but from the women workers themselves who were asked how much money they took home with them after their most recent typical full week's work. These take-home earnings represented, not the total wages earned, but what was left of the total earnings after deductions such as Social Security and income taxes, war bonds, union fees, and work-elothing fees. The reported take-home earnings, because of these deductions, are only partially indicative of the actual wage level in the area, industry, or occupation. They nevertheless represent the working woman's statement of how much she had with which to meet current living expenses.

In each area, the average weekly take-home earnings of the women employed in the war-manufacturing industries exceeded by far the take-home earnings of women workers in either the consumeramufacturing industries or various trade and service industries. In 5 of the 10 areas weekly take-home earnings reported by the women in war-manufacturing plants averaged over \$85—as high as \$40.35 in the Detroit area—and in no area were they less than \$29. On the other hand, with the exception only of the west coast and Detroit weekly take-home earnings in each of the other areas averaged materially less than \$29 in all other major women-employing industrial groups, and as low as \$13.95 and slightly over \$16 or \$18 in specific service industries in the Mobile, Baltimore, Springfield, Buffalo, and

Dayton areas.

Generally, high take-home earnings in war-manufacturing industries were accompanied by relatively high earnings in other industries. Thus, the three highest-paying areas in war-manufacturing industries (Detroit, San Francisco, and Seattle) were among the highest-paying areas in all other industrial groups studied—consumer manufacturing, retail and wholesale trade, hotels, eating and drinking places, and laundries. The most clear-cut exception to this general pattern was found in the Mobile area. Although it ranked next in line to the three highest-paying areas in war-manufacturing earnings, Mobile was the lowest-paying area in three of the other five industrial groups. It is significant that in the Mobile area, which contained a higher proportion of Negro working women (31 percent) than any of the other areas, not one Negro working women (31 percent) than any of the other areas, not one Negro woman was found working as an operative in the war factories at the time of the survey.

In sharp contrast with the reported take-home earnings in war-manuicaturing plants were the earnings in consumer-manufacturing plants (women in four areas averaged less than \$25 per week) and the substandard or near substandard earnings in the trade and service industries in many areas. Compared with earnings in the trade and serviEARNINGS 15

industries, those in consumer manufacturing were relatively uniform, varying within the 10 areas by not more than about \$8 (\$23.30-\$31). On the other hand, in some service industries average take-home earnings in the highest-paying area were twice as high, or almost twice as high, as those in the lowest-paying area.

In each of the trade and service industries studied, average earnings tended to cluster at a relatively low level in the majority of areas, whereas in the few higher-paying areas, average earnings were materially higher. Thus, in eating and drinking establishments, earnings in the four top areas averaged \$28 a week and up to \$31.55, but only \$25.30 or less in the other areas, and as low as \$13.95 a week in the Mobile area. Similarly, in the retail and wholesale trade group (primarily retail stores), reported weekly take-home earnings averaged almost \$25 and up to \$30.40 in the three top areas of Detroit, Seattle, and San Francisco; whereas earnings in the other seven areas lagged behind at a level of \$21.30 or less, and as low as \$18.90 in Buffalo.

Little wonder that the regular employees in the trade and service industries left these industries in droves for better-paying jobs during the war period when the cost of living was mounting slowly but steadily. Wartime controls on wages, exercised by the National War Labor Board, and in effect at the time of the survey, were obviously not a factor in keeping the wage level low in many areas because there was plenty of room for upward movement to the level below which wages were considered substandard-a permissable adjustment under wartime controls. The National War Labor Board determined that wages below 50 cents an hour or \$20 for a 40-hour week, and subsequently 55 cents an hour or \$22 for 40 hours, were substandard. Although the data gathered in this survey do not provide hourly rates of gross pay. the weekly take-home earnings reported by the women at the time of the interview fell so far short of the substandard level in so many areas that it is safe enough to use, as a basis of comparison, gross earnings for a standard 40-hour week. Weekly take-home earnings under \$22 were widespread. Take-home earnings reported by women workers in retail and wholesale trade (where weekly hours generally exceeded 40) averaged \$21.30 a week or less in seven areas; in hotels, the averages ranged from \$16.25 to \$21.50 in six areas; in laundries they ranged from \$16.05 to \$21.65 in six areas; and in eating and drinking places. from \$13.95 to \$21.15 in four areas. The prevalence of substandard carnings is even more evident when we bear in mind that the earningsfigures cited are averages. This means that substantial numbers of women workers in each area carned less than the average earnings for the area.

Turning to the analysis of earnings in broad occupational groups, we find the higher earnings in war manufacturing distinctly reflected. Women who worked in the war-manufacturing plants as machine operatives and at clerical occupations carned considerably more in each area than the women in the corresponding occupational groups in consumer-manufacturing plants. It is recognized, of course, that differences in job content and hours worked, among other factors, also raised the earnings-level in the war factories. Average weekly takehome earnings of operatives in war factories ranged from \$29.45 in Springfield to \$43.45 in Mobile and were on the average about 40 perent higher than those of operatives in consumer factories, where

average weekly take-home earnings ranged from \$22,90 in Mobile to \$31,45 in San Francisco. Women clerical workers in war factories also gringed a marked earnings advantage over their countermats, in the

consumer factories earning about 20 percent more

Higher average earnings in the operative rather than the clerical occupations characterize the usual relationship between these two occupational groups. Although this relationship was found to exist in the war period in the war-factory group separately, it was reversed not only between these two groups of factories (war and consumer) in almost every area, but also within the consumer-factory group itself in half the areas. The higher earnings of war-factory operatives of much so, that in all areas except Kenosha war-factory elerical workers; so much so, that in all areas except Kenosha war-factory elerical varvage, 20 percent more than enonumer-factory operatives. These higher earnings of elerical workers in war factories in turn tended to pull up the level of the workers war factory elerical workers, so that even the latter, in five areas, averaged more in take-

PART IV PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS

MARITAL STATUS

Many more married women entered the labor market during the war period than would normally have done so, with the result that the usual ratio among working women of single to married did not prevail in war areas. This change was particularly marked in the two west coast areas where the group of wartime workers contained substantially more married than single women.

In 1940 in the country as a whole, according to the Census, there were more single than married women employed, or about 48 percent single, 37 percent married, and 15 percent widowed or divorced. On the other hand, in the war period for the 10 war areas, on the average, the proportions of single and married women were the same, or about 44 percent single, an equal proportion married *, and about 12 percent widowed or divorced. About 11 percent of the married women had husbands absent due to service in the armed forces. These proportions,

however, varied considerably among the 10 areas.

Because so many more of the married women than either of the other groups expressed the intention to retire from the labor market after the war, the 1940 ratio of single to married women would be approximately restored among the prospective postwar workers in most areas. On the average in the 10 areas, about 87 percent of the single women employed in the war period and about 94 percent of the widowed or divorced women planned to continue work, whereas 57 percent of the married women expected to work after the war, and the proportion was considerably lower, as would be expected, among servicemen's wives. Because of these differing intentions, the married women who planned to remain in the labor force were in no higher proportion generally than those who had been there in 1940. Married women constituted 37 percent of the employed women in 1940 in the country as a whole, and among the prospective postwar workers interviewed in this survey married women constituted 37 percent or less of the group in all but two areas.

AGE

There were no significant differences in the age distribution of the women employed in the war period compared to those who expected to keep on working after the war, except for a decrease in the proportion of women between 20 and 29 years (due primarily to servicemen's wives and other young housewives leaving the labor market) and a slight increase in the proportion of women 45 years and over.

On the average in the 10 areas about 40 percent of the wartimeemployed women (excluding household employees) were between 20 and 29 years of age; 14 percent were under 20 years; 22 percent, between 30 and 39; and 24 percent, 40 years or over.*

^{*}Women who were separated from their husbands, whether because the husbands were in the smeed forces or for other reasons, were counted as married.
*For the country as a whole, according to the Census for 19th, of the employed women (including household employees), about 27 percent were between 28 and 29 years of ago, 8 percent were under 29 years, 12 percent were of years of years.

RACE

In the Mobile area almost a third of the women employed in the war period were Negro. In four other areas between 10 and 19 percent, inclusive, were non-white (including some oriental in San Francisco). In the remaining five areas less than 10 percent of the war-employed women were Negro or of other non-white races.

In each of the nine areas where there were enough non-white employed women in the war period to make comparison valid, a nuch higher proportion of the Negro women planned to continue work than of the white women. In six areas 94 percent or more of the Negro or other non-white women who were employed in the war period or other non-white women who were employed in the war period

EDUCATION

For approximately 60 percent of the war-employed women in each of the areas the extent of schooling was graduation from high school or attendance at high school. About 30 percent had not been beyond grade school, and about 10 percent had had some college work. This ratio, roughly 3-6-1 according to grade school, high school, and college, respectively, remained about the same among the women with posture employment expectations, although in several areas a slightly larger proportion of women who had discontinued their schooling become proportion of women who had discontinued their schooling become proportion of women who had discontinued their schooling become proportion of women who had discontinued their schooling become proportion of women who had discontinued their schooling become proportion of women who had discontinued their schooling become proportion of women who had discontinued their schooling become proportion of women who had discontinued their schooling become with more school citizents.

PART V. RESPONSIBILITY FOR FAMILY SUPPORT

REASONS WARTIME-EMPLOYED WOMEN PLANNED TO REMAIN IN THE LABOR FORCE

Responsibility for the support of themselves or themselves and others was the outstanding reason given by war-employed women for planning to continue work after the war. As already pointed out, about three-fourths of the wartime-employed women in the 10 areas (excluding household employees) planned to keep on working after the war. Fully 84 percent of them had no other alternative, as this was the proportion among them who based their decision on their need to support themselves and often, other persons as well. Eight percent offered special reasons for continuing at work, such as buying a home or sending children to school; and only 8 percent reported they would remain in the labor force because they liked working, or liked having their own money.

Virtually all of the single women and of those who were widowed or divorced (96 and 88 percent, respectively) who intended to remain in gainful employment after the war stated they would do so in order to support themselves or themselves and others, whereas 57 percent of the married wartime workers who expected to remain at work gave this reason. The remaining married prospective postwar workers interviewed offered reasons of the special purpose type, such as buying a home, about as often as those of the "like-to-work" type. Because married women differed so much on this issue from women in other marital-status groups, differences from area to area in the proportions of prospective postwar workers who offered each of the three sets of reasons reflect largely the relative concentration of married women in each area.

REGULAR CONTRIBUTIONS TO FAMILY EXPENSES BY WOMEN WORKERS LIVING IN FAMILY GROUPS™

On the average, about four-fifths of the wartime-employed women lived in family groups of two or more persons. This living arrangement was found most often, as would be expected, among the married wartime-workers, and more often among the single than the widowed or divorced women workers. Variations among the areas on this score were found primarily among the single women; in the Buffalo and Kenosha areas, virtually all of the single women lived in family groups, whereas in the three areas of Dayton, Wiehita, and Mobile about 40 percent of them lived alone.

Very few women indeed, of those who lived in family groups, kept all of their earnings for their own personal use. On the contrary, over 90 percent of them, in each of the marital groups, reported that they contributed regularly to family expenses. These regular contributions went primarily toward household expenses, but some women said they contributed regularly toward the support of persons outside the household in addition to making regular payments to the housebodd

Some women turned over a larger share of their earnings toward

³³ This section is based on the total group of wartims-employed women, but the picture was found to be approximately the same among them as among the women, who expected to remain at work after the war.

family expenses than others. On the average in the 10 areas, of every 100 women who lived with their families and contributed regularly toward expenses, 37 gave all of their earnings, 22 gave half or more but not all, and 41, less than half. The proportion contributing all of their earnings was highest in the Springfield area, where 51 out of every 100 working women turned over all the money in their nav

envelopes each pay day to the family.

The proportion of earnings contributed were found to vary considerably with marital status. On the average, 55 percent of the married women gave all their earnings to the family and 24 percent gave less than half, whereas among single women, 14 percent contributed all and 65 percent, less than half. The pattern among widowed or divorced women was much more like that among married than among single women. Differences, however, in economic responsibility between the single working women and those in the other marital groups, as measured by the share of their respective earnings contributed toward family expenses, are not necessarily as sharp as would appear from a simple comparison of the figures. They doubtlessly reflect, in some measure, differences in custom and family-budget methods and therefore cannot be used as a vardstick for determining what proportions of the earnings were expended on purely personal upkeep as distinguished from contributions toward other expenses, such as rent. Where there is more than one wage earner in a family, it is sometimes the practice among married persons to pool their earnings initially and then withdraw the amounts needed for personal upkeep, such as carfare, lunch money, clothing, and so forth; whereas single persons more commonly retain what they require for personal upkeep and allocate the remainder to the family budget.

When the actual money represented by all these varying contributions was added up, it was found that, on the average, almost twothirds of the money earned by the women who regularly helped support their families went toward family expenses. Although both the married and widowed or divorced women contributed substantially larger proportions of their earnings than the single women, the latter were not without continuing financial responsibility toward the family. The regular contributions of the single women were not of the "token" variety either;—in on area was less than one-third of the total money in their pay envelopes earmarked for family expenses, and in the eastern areas of Sorinfeldel, Buffalo, and Baltimore, over one-half to almost two-

thirds of their pay-money was thus allocated.

That the need to work is just as pressing among some married women sa manung some single women was highlighted by the replies from the war-employed women on the number of wage earners in the family group. Out of every 100 married women who were living in family groups of two or more persons, 11 said they were the only wage earner supporting the family group. This was almost identical to the proportion of sole supporting wage carners among single women living with their families. The state of marriage, therefore, does not, in itself, always mean there is a male provider for the family. More heavily, however, than on either the single or married working women did the financial burden of being the only wage earner supporting the family group fall on the widowed or divorced women, of whom over a third earnied this responsibility.

In addition to the women who were the only wage earners, many other women were sharing the family expenses with only one other wage earner. On the average, about one-half of the wartime-employed women who lived in family groups shouldered the responsibility of meeting expenses with one other wage earner.

REGULAR CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE SUPPORT OF OTHERS BY WOMEN WORKERS LIVING ALONE

The women workers who lived alone were not always scot free in the use of their earnings. In addition to having the necessary expenditures for their own support, many of them were found to be contributing

regularly toward the support of other persons.

The group of women who lived apart from family groups was large enough in 8 of the 10 areas so that a special analysis could be made of their contributious to other persons. The proportions of these women who contributed regularly to the support of others varied videly among the different areas; in the Mobile area, among the wartimeemployed women, it was about 40 percent, in the Detroit and Baltimore areas, about 30 percent, and in the Springfield and Wichita areas, only about 8 percent. Among those who planned to continue work after the war, the proportions who contributed regularly to the support of others were slightly greater in most areas than for the war-employed group.

INCOME OTHER THAN WAGES

All but about 13 percent of the women employed in the war period reported that they or their families had no income other than wages or service allotments. In five of the areas the percent of women reporting income from other sources was between 14 and 16 inclusive: and in the other five areas, it varied from 9 to 13.

The major sources of additional income were payments by boarders and roomers. In the Detroit, Springfield, San Francisco, and Buffalo areas, about equal amounts were received from either rentals or roomers and boarders.

roomers and boarders

CARE OF CHILDREN OF WOMEN WARTIME WORKERS

The problem of providing for children under 14 years of age involves more than just financial support. There is also the responsibility of seeing that meals are cooked and that play and daily living take place in an atmosphere of security. It is well known that these latter needs are not always adequately met and that conditions were particularly bad during the war.

Among the wartime-employed women who were living in family groups of 2 or more persons, on the average 59 percent were married, widowed or divorced. Of these almost a third had children of their own under 14 years of age in the household. In each of the areas, over half or more of the working mothers whose children were less than 14 years old had one child in the household, about a fourth on the average had two children, and almost a sixth had three or more children in the household—all under 14 years of age. This situation presented in the war period and continues to present a serious problem to the communities in which these women live and to the Nation at large, particularly in view of the fact that such a large proportion of women work because of economic necessity.

The women interviewed were asked what type of care was provided for these young children in the mother's absence. In most areas a large proportion of the working mothers left the care of their children under 14 to relatives in the household other than the husband or older school children. This was the arrangement made by 30 to 45 percent of the working mothers with young children in the household in all areas except Kenosha. In Kenosha only 12 percent of the working mothers left the care of their children to relatives in the household, and 38 percent arranged for husband and wife to work on separate shifts, thus enabling the father to look after the children while the mother worked. The practice of husband and wife working different shifts in order to care for children was fairly common also in the Detroit and Springfield areas, where 16 and 18 percent respectively made this type of arrangement.

Among other types of care provided for young children of working mothers were the use of older school children, relatives outside the household, a maid in the household, a neighbor, and nursery school. Relatively few used nursery schools—none at all in the Kenosha area,

and in no area more than 11 percent.

The fact stands out, however, from examination of the reports on the care of young children of wartime-employed mothers, that in a substantial proportion of the households no real provision was made for their care while the woman worker was absent. This was true particularly in the west coast and Wichita areas.

PART VI. POSTWAR PROBLEMS AND OUTLINE OF ACTION

This survey serves to underscore the imperative need for serious consideration of the postwar employment problems of women workers. We must take cognizance, even more than before, not alone of their presence in the labor force, but also of their greatly increased number. The number of women in gainful employment has been increasing over a long period of years—a development which the war experience accelerated and brought into sharp relief. We can neither escape the fact that women need to work nor deny them the right to a job.

Will there be jobs for those seeking work, and in the areas where the labor supply is concentrated?

Will there be jobs in the industries and occupations the women workers want? Will women workers be enabled to make the fullest possible contribution to the economy by using their highest skills? Or must we look forward to a waste of productive power?

Are there adequate placement, counseling, and training facilities at hand for guiding and controlling the labor force into those channels which will best serve the needs of employers and employees alike?

How can the effect on the pay envelope of curtailed employment in the higher-paying war factories be minimized? Women workers must support themselves and also carry heavy financial responsibilities. If the postwar period means a shift to consumer manufacturing and trade and service industries for them, must it also mean a return to low or substandard wages in hotels, laundries, restaurants, and retail stores?

These are the major problems which stand out most sharply from this survey of women workers in war production areas. Similar problems undoubtedly exist in regard to men, but all too often those who seek to provide employment and decent wage rates for men do not realize that women have the same needs. Scientific industrial genius is brought to bear on the problems of machinery reconversion. We must approach the problems of the gigantic labor reconversion no less seriously and with even greater effort, because the cost of failure to act, or wrong action, is human suffering and industrial strife. It is hoped that the evidence presented in this study will show the need for action to meet these various employment problems. Some of them can be solved only in the long run, whereas others require shorter time, but immediate steps can be undertaken on all of them.

Action on a national and regional basis, as well as on a local scale, will be needed in order to meet the postwar problems resulting from the manifold changes caused by the war in women's employment. Foremost is the need for a full employment program that will provide jobs for women as well as men. Moreover, the jobs must be available in the areas and types of work that local labor supplies can fill, or special provisions must be made to move job seekers to the areas where employment is available.

The postwar reshuffling of female labor from one industry to another and from one occupation to another calls for a strengthened and comprehensive employment service which will enable job seekers and employers to become acquainted with each other's needs.

À placement service, in order to promote full employment and maximum production, must, moreover, be coordinated with adequate training and counseling facilities. Women workers should be helped to acquire new skills in those lines of work where demand is expanding. They need guidance in transferring their wartime skills to peacetime employment.

It is not enough, however, for a coordinated placement, training, and counseling service to function locally. Such a service should be concerned, not only with assisting local employers and employees to know each other's requirements and qualifications, but also to apprise individual job seckers, who cannot be placed locally in jobs for which they are trained, of openings in their line of work in other geographic areas. Although relatively few of the women workers interviewed in this survey signified their intention to leave the war-production areas, the postwar labor market may force more of them to migrate than originally planned to do so. Unless these job seckers are provided with authoritative fore-knowledge of specific labor shortages in other areas, there will be chaotic and not always fruitful moving about in the hunt for work.

In addition, educational work is needed among employers regarding the present and potential abilities of women as workers. Women workcrs made an outstanding contribution in the war period, receiving fulsome praise for their achievements at that time. Work is now needed to show employers that in peacetime they should not and need not discriminate against women as such, or against older women, married women, Negro women, or others with particular characteristics; but that women, like men, should be hired for particular jobs on the basis of their individual abilities.

Unless wage rates are adequate, however, women workers will not be assured of a decent standard of living, for themselves and for the families they help to support or support unaided. The danger of in-adequacy is even greater in peacetime than it was during the war, because wage rates in consumer-manufacturing, trade, and service industries were far lower, even in the war period, than the war-goods industries were far lower, even in the war period, than the war-goods and collective bargaining.

Minimum-wage laws should be enacted in those States which do not yetablishing vage orders in industries not yet covered, and by revising previously established minimum-wage rates in order to bring them into line with the current cost of living. State action is sorely needed in industries not engaged in interstate commerce, as is shown by the wartime carnings of women in the trade and service inclustries. Federal action on minimum wages in other industries will also facilitate the transition to consumer-manufacturing jobs.

Another type of legislation, which would guarantee to women rates equal to those paid men for comparable work, would eliminate a particularly unfair form of discrimination against women. Only seven States now have such a law and the proposed Federal law has not yet

been passed.

Collective bargaining can go further than the area covered by wage legislation. Adjustments of wage rates by collective bargaining can take into account, not only minimum rates and the elimination of wage differentials based on sex, but also inequities in rates above the minimum. Particularly required are the establishment of differentiated job classifications and the setting of wage rates for each job classification commensurate with the skill and training required for the job.

Where women who have young children at home must work, more than an adequate money income is needed to provide for the care of these children. That relatively few working mothers were able to use unreery schools of trained mothers' assistants in the war period, suggests that such services were either too expensive or not available. Certainly there seems need for far-reaching action to provide this view.

of care.

The war production areas surveyed, in making local plans to deal with the increased number of women in the labor force, must examine the broader aspects of the problems. These problems resulted, not from, the individual action of the community, but from national changes due to the war and therefore demand the coordination of local and national programs to meet them. These programs must aim, not only to promote the employment of women who want to work, but also to provide women workers with adequate income to support themselves and their families.

APPENDIX A

Scope and Method

This report presents the results of a sample survey conducted by field agents of the Women's Bureau. More than 13,000 women employed in 10 war-congested manufacturing areas were interviewed in their homes

by Women's Bureau agents.

Selection of areas.—The areas selected were located throughout the country. Each area represented a particular type of war-manufacturing center. The criteria for choosing the areas were geographic location, size of area, type of war product, and special industrial and social characteristics. The sample was not designed to be used as a basis for national estimates.

Date of survey.—Field work for the survey was started in Detroit, Michigan, in February 1944. The study extended over a period of approximately 16 months and was completed with the survey of the

San Francisco-Oakland area in May 1945.

The summary on the following page presents for each area the date of he survey, the extent of the area, and the geographic and industrial

characteristics.

Sompling procedure.—Estimates of the number of women in each area employed in the various war and consumer-goods manufacturing industries, in the non-manufacturing war industries, and in the trade and service industries (except household employees?) were compiled from official and non-official sources. These estimates were used as a control, and the sample was proportioned to contain the proper ratios of women employed in the various industries.

Tract maps from the Bureau of the Census and current data from local governmental and private agencies supplied the necessary information in each area on: (1) The vicinities in which women workers of the various industries lived; (2) the location of the old and new housing developments; and (3) national and racial characteristics of neighborhoods. In addition to the Bureau of the Census, other sources of information used were such agencies as War Housing Centers, City Planning Commissions, Chambers of Commerce, and the United States Emledyment Service.

Computation of averages for totals.—In arriving at total averages, each area was given equal weight in order to represent equally the

individual types of war industry centers.

Take-home earnings.—Take-home earnings represent the amount in the pay envelope of the worker after Social Security and income taxs, union fees, fees for work clothing, etc., have been deducted. Take-home earnings used in the sample were reported by the worker and covered her last typical full-time pay period before the interview.

Women employed as household employees at the time of the survey were not interviewed and were excluded from all estimates and tabulations, unless otherwise noted.

Summary information of areas surveyed

Area	Date of survey	Extent of area	Type of war industry center
Springfield-Holyoke Metropolitan Area, Mass.	June and July 1944.	Cities and towns within 25 mile radius of Springfield.	New England area—Home of Springfield Armory and other ordnance plants.
Baltimore Metropoli- tan Area, Md.	Sept. and Nov. 1944.	Baltimore City and southern districts of Baltimore County.	Eastern coast city—Large scale employment of women in ship building and sireraft during the war. Before the war, many women were employed in consumer-goods plants.
Erie County, N. Y	June 1944	Buffalo and rest of Erie County.	Major eastern aircraft center—As area where in peacetime com- paratively few women are em- ployed in manufacturing plants
Dayton-Springfield, Obio,	April and May 1944.	Five counties: Mont- gomery, Clark, Green, Champagne, and Preble.	Midwestern war center Location of large army air installation employing many women.
Detroit-Willow Run, Mich,	FebApril 1944.	Four counties: Wayne, Oakland, Macomb, and Washtenaw.	Midwestern city—Effect of was production on women's employ- ment in a normally man-employ- ing, one-industry city—automo- biles.
Kenosha, Wis	Aug. 1944	Kenosha City	Middle West-A small wartime
Wichita, Kans	May 1944	Eight places: Augusta, El Dorado, Newton, Oxford, Holatead, Winfield, Wellington, Wichita.	production center. Large midwestern aircraft center.
Mobile Metropolitan Area, Ala.	Nov. 1944	City of Mobile and part of Mobile County.	Southern city where comparatively few women in peacetime are em- ployed in manufacturing and where the mushroom growth was
			due to demand for women workers in shipyards and air service in stallations. Area also representa- tive of the wartime employment opportunities of Negro women workers in the South.
Seattle-Tacoma Met- tropolitan Area,	Dec. 1944- Feb. 1945.	Same as defined in 1940 Census.	Represent the two major west coast
Wash. San Francisco-Oak- land Metropolitan Area, Calif.	FebMay 1945	Same as defined in 1940 Census except Santa Clara County not included.	shipbuilding centers and debarka- tion points. In Seattle, aircraft was also an important war in- dustry.

The following table presents for each area population and employment data for Marsh 1940.

Table I.—Population and employment, 14 years and over, for specified areas—March 1950
[Source—Bursau of the Cessus, Population 1940, Second Scrips]

	Population			Num			
Агея	Female			Female		Percent em- ployed females of female	
	Total	Number	Percent of total	Total	Number	Percent of total	population
Springfield-Holyoke Met- rocolitan Area, Mass.	319,948	164,885	52	146,053	44,736	31	2
Baltimore Metropolitan Area, Md.	825,531	418,258	51	412,861	118,552	29	2
Erie County, N. Y. Dayton-Springfield, Ohio. Detroit-Willow Run, Mich.	376,468	319,613 188,457 935,534	50 50 49	269,762 169,970 917,447	69,386 40,848 211,679	26 24 23	2 2 2
Kenosha, Wis. Wichita, Kans. Mobile Metropolitan	38,815 181,294 87,626	19,303 93,532 46,311	50 52 53	16,486 80,172 42,571	4,243 19,830 13,156	26 25 31	2 2 2
Area, Ala. Seattle-Tacoma Metro-	508,131	253,259	50	228,891	61,760	27	2
politan Area, Wash. San Francisco-Oakland Metropolitan Area, Calif.	1,208,064	596,484	49	573,172	155,132	27	20

Figures were adjusted to conform with areas as defined in this survey.
Includes household employees.

APPENDIX B

PART I. INCREASE IN THE EMPLOYMENT OF WOMEN 1 AND MAJOR SOURCES OF INCREASE

The number of employed women increased greatly from 1940 to 1944-45 in each of the 10 areas.

Table I-1.—Number of women employed in 1940 and 1944-45 and percent of increase, by area

	Number of emq	Percent increase		
Area	1940	1914-45	1940 to 1944-4	
Syringtidd Helyolae Hellioner Mercuellan Eric Centsty, N. Y. Dayton-Syringtidd Detroit-Willow Run. With Marcuellan Mercuellan Mehles Mercuellan Mercuellan Mehles Mercuellan Mercuellan Sas Francisco-Oaklant Metro-politan.	39,700 34,700 182,300 3,800 16,500 7,800 54,500	61,200 164,500 114,000 70,700 287,000 7,500 44,000 27,000 123,000	51 77 91 100 111 97 161 241 121	

³ Women employed in domestic service were excluded from both 1940 and 1944-45 figures. On all subsequent tables the areas will be identified by the names of the principled cities only, as follows. Francisco. Service, Perfals, Depton, Detect, Kensch, Wieblin, Mobile, Scattle, and San Francisco.

In most of the 10 areas at least half of the wartine-employed women were women who date been employed the week before Pearl Horber; of two had been unemployed and seeking work; the rest were women who had not wanted jobs the week before Pearl Horber-sames had been keeping house and others going to achool.

Table I-2.—Employment status the week before Pearl Harbor of women employed in 1944-45, by area

	Percent	of wartime- ployment st	employed won atus the week	en with speci before Pearl H	led types of larbor		
Area		In the l	abor force	Not in the l	labor force		
	Total	Employed	Unsuployed and seeking work	Engaged in own housework ¹	In school		
All areas	100	33	2	26	19		
Springfold Lattinon Buffalo Buffalo Detroit Kenosha Webita Webita Mobile Seattle Saa Francisco	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	54 59 33 51 52 44 51 49	1 2 2 2 3 3 2 1 4 1	18 23 28 24 28 24 31 23 33 30	21 21 11 15 15 22 24 22 17		

A very few women who were not in the labor force the week before Pearl Harbor, and gave reasons other than school or home housework, were counted in this group.

Women with at least 5 years of work experience before 1944-45 constituted a substantial part of the women employed in the war period.

Tanta I-3.—Length of work experience before 1944-45 of women employed in the

· The second	Percent of women with specified years of work experience before 1944-45						
Area	Total	10 and over	5, less than 10	3, less than 5	2, less than 3	1, less than 2	Less than 1
All areas	100	29	19	15	12	12	12
Springfield Baltimore	100 100	36 28	18	17	12	10 12	13
Buffalo Dayton		36 28 25 28 22	16 18 17	16 19 15 15 14 14 14	14	16 14	10
Detroit. Kenoslas Wichita	100 100 100 100 100 100 100	34	22 20	14	11	14 11 15	1
Mobile Seattle San Francisco	100 100 100	34 21 30 35 34	15 18 21	15 14 16	12 13 11	13 11 10	1

³ Previous work in paid household employment was counted as part of work experience.

In several areas in-migrants formed a large proportion of the women employed in the war period; in a few areas there were relatively few in-migrants.

Table I-4.—Number and proportion of women employed in the war period who were in-migrants, by area

I have been some to whall have a state that	Women employed in 1944-45					
Area	Total number	In-magrants				
THE SPECIMENT OF STREET		Number	Percent of total			
Springfeld flattnore. Dayton Detect Method M	61,500 164,500 114,000 70,700 387,000 7,500 44,000 27,000 123,000 274,500	6,800 49,400 2,500 28,300 53,000 25,000 12,600 40,200 127,000	11 36 46 14 9 07 47 47			

APPENDIX

31

A very large proportion of the women employed in the war period planned to continue work full risk war call most of these weeses planned to continue work in the respective areas where they had been employed during the war.

Table I-5.—Number of women employed in 1944-45 and number and percent who planned to continue work and to continue work in the respective areas, by area

		Number of	women who	planned to continue work					
	Number of	To	tal	In sam	e area				
Arva	employed 1944-45	Number	Percent of women employed 1944-45	Number	Percent of women employed 1914-45				
All areas Springfeld Ballimore Buffalo Dayton Control Keneoka Wichita Modele Springfeld Wichita	61,500 164,500 114,009 70,700 387,000 7,500 44,000 27,000	50,800 113,300 91,200 55,500 302,000 26,500 22,800 75,500 190,200	75 83 81 80 78 78 73 61 84 61	48,600 124,900 86,800 48,700 283,000 5,500 24,600 19,500 70,200 167,500	76 76 76 77 77 77 77 77 71 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81				

The number of wartime-employed women who planned to continue work in the respective oreas where they were employed in the wor period, in each of the 10 areas, greatly exceeded the number of women employed in 1940.

Table I-6.—Comparison of the number of women employed in 1940 and the number employed in 1944-45 who planned to continue work in the respective areas, by area

	Nun	aber of women	Percent of increase between 1940 cm-
Area	Employed 1940	Employed 1944-45 and planned to con- tinue work in same area	ployment and number who planned to con- tinue work in the same area
Springfield. Paltimore Buffalo	39,760 93,760 59,760	48,600 124,900 89,800	22 33 50 40
Dayton Detroit Kenosha Wichita Mobile	34,700 182,300 3,800 16,500 7,800	48,700 283,000 3,200 24,600 19,500	45
Seattle San Francisco	54,500 137,700	70,200 167,500	150 29 22

The group of wartime-employed wamen who planned to continue work contained a higher proportion of wamen who had been employed before Pearl Harber than the total group of wartime-employed wamen. Compare Tables 1-2 and 1-7

Table 1-7.—Employment status the week before Pearl Harbor of wartime-employed stromen who planned to continue work, by area

Percent of	war-end	hoved	women.	who sh	street to	· continue
work with	specified	types	of enu	doynoes	status	the week

Aren		In the la	bor force	Not in the l	abor force
	Total	Employed	Unemployed and seeking work	Engaged in own housework	In school
All areas	100	.58	2	20	20
Springfield	100 100 100 100	62 58	1 2	14 18	20 21
Buffalo. Dayton Detroit.	100	59 56	2 3	17 19 21	20 20
Kenosha. Wichita Mobile.	100 100	57 53	1 5	21 20 19	21 22 21
Seattle	100	57 57	1	25 24	17

Among women employed both in the wer period and the week before Pearl Harbor, the proportion who planned to continue work was higher than among women employed in the war period who had not wanted jobs the week before Pearl Harbor.

Table 1-8.—Percent of wartime-employed women with specified types of employment status the week before Pearl Harbor who planned to continue work after the war, by area

Percent of women in specified groups the week before Pearl Harbor who planned to continue work

Area		In the la	bor force	Not in the l	abor force
	Total	Employed	Unemployed and seeking work	Engaged in own housework	In school
All areas	75	83	59	57	79
Springfield Daltimore Duffalo. Dayton Dayton Kennolis Wiphita Mobile Seattle San Francism	83 81 80 78 78 73 61 84 61 69	85 87 91 83 85 79 78 90 71 77	83 84 100 94 90 100 67 96 92 83	65 63 49 62 58 64 39 70 46 55	91 86 97 79 83 68 58 83 62 71

The group of wartime-employed women who planned to continue work contained a slightly higher proportion of women with at least 5 years of work expressions before 1944-45 than the total group of wartime-employed women. Compare Tables 1-3 and 1-9.

Table 1-9.—Length of work experience before 1944-45 of wartime-employed women who planned to continue work, by area

	Percer	ige, wor	ar-engô k with s ienoc be	pecified	Swars.	bo plan of work	ned to
Area	Total	10 and over	5, less than 10	3, less than	2, less than 3	1, less than 2	Less than 1
All areas	100	34	18	15	11	11.	11
Springfield	100	38	16	15 15 19 14 15 12 15	12	11	11 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
Baltimore	100	31	19	15	12 16	11	11
Buffalo. Dayton	100 100 100	20	17	47	12	11 12 14 10 12 13	*
Detroit.	100	25	17 19 23 15	15	ii	14	13
Kenosha		40	19	12	4	10	1/
Wiehita	100	27	23	15	11	12	11
Mobile	100 100 100	31 29 34 25 40 27 32 42	15	15.	12	13	1
Sentile San Francisco	100	40	19	11	11	9	1

Previous work in paid household employment was counted as part of work experience,

Among women employed in the war period with 5 or more years of work experience before 1944-45, the proportion of women who planned to continue work was in general greater than among women with fewer years of work experience.

Table I-10.—Percent of women employed in the war period who planned to continue work, by length of work experience and area

	Percent of women employed in war period with specified years of work experience who planned to continue work											
Ares	Total	10 and over	5, less than 10	3, less than 5	2, less than 3	1, less than 2	Less than 1					
All areas	75	86	73	71	72	68	600					
Springfeld. Eddinore Buffalo Buffalo Dayton Dayton Kenolla Melali Mohll Mohll Mohll San Francisco	81 80 78 78 73 61 84 61	88 91 91 91 89 87 79 90 74 81	75- 77- 79- 73- 80- 62- 71- 86- 61- 68-	73 76 82 75 77 66 67 81 46 62	83 77 85 74 78 70 51 85 63	86 79 66 70 75 63 46 83 52 60	94 79 63 74 67 77 44 73 58 58					

Among in-migront women employed in the war period the proportion who planned to continue work was slightly less than among all war-employed women, but substantial proportions of the in-migronts planned to stay in the war areas and to continue work in these areas. Compare Tobles 1-5 and 1-11.

Table I-11.—Proportions of in-migrant women employed in the war period who planned (I) to continue work, (2) to stay in the war area, and (3) to continue work in the war area, in areas where in-migrants were an important part of the employed women.

Arer	Percent of in- war perior	nigrant women employed in the with specified postwar plans				
	To continue work	To continue to live in area	To continue work in area			
Baltimore Dayton Detroit Wichita Mobile Scattle San Fratrisco	72 37 81 57	69 61 62 54 64 64 56	63 55 56 43 58 46 46			

¹ Springfield, Buffalo, and Kenesha areas not shown because of the relatively small number of inmigrants.

In the areas where in-migrants were an important part of the women employed in the war period, in-migrants also formed a substantial part of the women who planned to continue work in the area. Compare Tobles 1-4 and 1-12.

Table I-12.—Number and proportion of in-migrants among the women employed in the war period who planned to continue work in the same area, in areas where in-migrants were an important part of the employed women!

Women emp	loyed in wa	r period who
planned to o	ontinue wor	k in war area
Total number	In-	migranta
Burnels	Number	Percent of total
124,900	31,100	25
48,700	15,600	32
283,000	29,700	10
24,600	10,800	44
19,500	7,300	37
70,200	18,500	26
167,500	58,400	25

Springfield, Buffalo, and Kenosha areas not shown because of the relatively small number of in-migrants.

PART II. CHANGES IN INDUSTRIES AND OCCUPATIONS

The proportion of women employed in manufacturing industries and government increased markedly from 1940 to 1944-45 while the proportion in trade and service decreased.

Table II-1.—Percent of women employed in specified industrial groups in 1940 and 1944-45, by area

Industrial group	Springfield Ba		Balt	Baltimore		Buffalo		Dayton		yton Detroit		Kenosha		Wi	Wichita		Mobile		Seattle		San Francisco	
	1940	1944	1940	1944	1940	1944	1940	1944	1940	1944	1949	1944	1940	1944	1940	1944	1940	1945	1940	1945		
All industries	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	10		
Janufacturing on-manifacturing Government Trade Trade and service Trade Retail and wholesale Service Personal Other Other Other industry	59 2 3 48 19 16 3 20 7 22 6	58 42 5 22 13 11 19 14 3	我是十年 我我我也没来到下市	45 52 8 4 36 18 13 5 18 6 12 4	174 17 4 825 17 17 18 17 18 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	55 42 4 4 31 14 11 17 4 13 3	3264 1 5326 19 T 20 × 21 4 71	40 60 28 2 28 12 8 4 16 7	2672 T 4 (C \$1 (C)) 28 T2	56 44 8 4 (1) 12 10 2 20	446666666666	36 44 3 7 36 11 7 11 9 14 3	7 90 3 4 73 32 23 10 41 13 25 10 3	46 54 3 5 44 22 15 7 20 10 2	16 84 3 7 67 29 20 9 38 14 24 7	25 75 4 42 25 44 11 1 × 0 2	11 67 4 5 67 33 25 8 34 10 24	33 67 15 42 22 17 5 20 8 22 17 5 20 8 22 17 5	15 82 4 7 60 26 21 5 34 11 23 11	2 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		

Excludes domestic service.

Excludes eating and drinking places.

Tachules finance, insurance, and real estate.

Not available.

From 1940 to 1944-45 increases in the number of women employed in manufacturing were particularly marked in each of the 10 areas, and in most areas increases in the number of women employed in government were also very great.

Table 11-2.—Number of women employed in selected industrial groups in 1940 and 1944-45, by area

		Nu	mber of	women e	employe	ed in spec	nified in	dustrial	groups		
faslustrial group	Sprin	gfield	Balt	limore	Bu	ffalo	Day	ton	Detroit		
	1940	1944	1940	1944	1940	1944	1940	1944	1940	1944	
All industries	39,700	61,500	93,700	164,500	39,700	114,000	34,700	70,700	182,300	387,000	
Manufacturing	19,100	3,000 19,500	3,900	13,500 59,900	35,700	4,000	1,200	20,000	5,500	215,000 33,000 139,000	
		N	umber o	d women	employ	red in spe	ecified i	ndostria	d groups		
Industrial group	К	enosha	W	Vichita	M	obile	Se	attle.	San Fr	ancieco	

		Number of women employed in specified industrial groups												
Industrial group	Ken	osha	Wie	hita	Mo	bile	See	sttle.	San Francisco					
	1940	1944	1940	1944	1940	1944	1940	1945	1940	1945				
All industries	3,800	7,500	16,500	44,000	7,800	27,000	54,500	123,000	137,700	274,500				
Manufacturing Government Trade and service Other*	2,400	0/10834	11,900	1,500	5,300	7,200	1,900	40,800 18,300 50,900 13,000	5,600 83,400	37,600				

Excludes domestic service,

Includes transportation, communication, public utilities, finance, insurance, real estate, and other,

In 6 of the 10 areas 40 percent or more of the women who were employed both in the war period and the week before Pearl Harbor! changed their industrial group be-tween these dates. Most of the changes were by women who left trade or service industries to enter war-manufacturing industries.

Table II-3.—Extent of shifts in industrial group by women employed both in 1944-45 and the week before Pearl Harbor, by area

	Pere	ent e				ontin ifted				indu	trial
Industrial group in which employed week before Pearl Harbor and shifts in the war period	All	Springfeld	Raltimore	Buffalo	Digitim	Detroit	Kenosla	Wichita	Mobile	Seattle	San Francisco
Total women' Stayed in same industry Shifted to other industry	62	100 76 24	100 67 33	100 60 40	100 52 45	100 58 42	100 75 25	100 69 31	100 55 45	100 57 43	100 55 45
Manufacturing—Total	100 81	100 172	100 83	100 97	100 82	100 90	100 91	100 83	100 761	100 74	100
Government—Total Stayed in same industry	100 60	100 48	100 75	100	100 83	100 73	100 75	100 40	100 50	100 54	100
Retail and wholesale trade!—Total, Stayed in same industry. Shifted to—War manufacturing. Government.	100 652 427 410	100 64 23	100 53 26 6	100 34 56	100 43 22 28	100 41 44 7	2333	100 77 23	100 48 14 25	100 51 18 13	100
Eating and drinking places—Total Stayed in same industry Shifted to—War manufacturing Government	100 98 90 9	100 35 35	100 38 32 3	3333	100 33 29 20	100 41 51 2	3333	100 34 24 3	100 48 15 11	100 30 30 13	10
Personal service—Total. Stayed in same industry. Shifted to—War manufacturing. Government	100 49 28 5	100 42 36	100 48 25 1	100 45 45	100 55 12 14	100 45 40 8	100 40 50	100 60 24	100 50 7	100 52 23 5	10 5 1

¹ Excludes not only women whose wartime employment was in domestic service but also those who, the property of the control of the war prival, had been in domestic worker before Poort Hardyn and divising places.
² In addition 27 percent obtains to generate installations which were chiefly manufacturing.
³ In addition 27 percent obtains to generate installations which were chiefly manufacturing.
⁴ Its a bottom to posity from proposition.

In 7 of the 10 areas a fourth or more of the women employed both in 1944-45 and the week before Pearl Harber* changed their accupational group between these dates.

TABLE II-4.—Extent of shifts in accupational group by women employed both in 1944-45 and the week before Pearl Harber* by area.

	Po	reent	of w	and gr	who	cont r shif	inued ted to	in the	grou	ne oe ip	eu-
Occupational group in which employed week before Pearl Harbor and shifts in the war period	All areas	Springfield	Baltimure	Buffalo	Dayton	Detroit	Kenosha	Wichita	Mobile	Seattin	San Francisco
Total women' Stayed in some occupation. Shifted to shother occupation. Clerical and kindred. Operative and kindred. Sales. Service.	27.	100 83 17 4 9 1	100 77 23 7 11 22 2	100 74 26 7 15 1	100 76 24 10 10 (7) 2	100 69 31 7 19 1	100 74 26 5 11	100 66 34 9 16 3	100 60 31 13 11 3 2	100 74 26 12 9 1	100
Clerical and kindred. Stayed Shifted to—Operative and kindred	87	100 90 7	100 87 10	100 88 10	100 92 4	100 81 14	100 93 7	100 76 13	100 81 6	100 93 2	10
Operative and kindred Stayed Shifted to—Clerical and kindred	100 82 11	100 93 4	100 83 8	100 92 8	100 86 10	100 90 5	100 82 11	100 86 14	100 67 18	100 75 17	10
Stayed Shifted to—Clerical and kindred. Operative and kindred.	37	100 50 22 22	100 43 23 31	100 25 31 44	100 39 24 29	100 30 22 41	100 22 11 66	100 29 28 43	100 52 37 11	100 38 34 23	100
Service. Stayed Shifted to—Clerical and kindred Operative and kindred	55 12	100 54	100 47 14 33	100 46 11 34	100 55 12 31	100 55 8 37	100 80	100 43 12 35	100 67 6 25	100 46 22 26	10

^{*}Excludes not only women whose warinne employment was in domestic service but also those who, though not employed in domestic service in the war period, had been in domestic service before that flatter.

*Less than one-half of 1 percent.

Manufacturing industries in 1944-45 employed a very large proportion of the wamen who had been engaged in their own housework the week before Pearl Harber and a large proportion of the former school glifs. Government employed a larger proportion of the former school glifs than of the wamen formerly engaged in their own housework.

TABLE II-5.—Industrial distribution' in 1944-45 of women who were in school or engaged in their own housework the week before Pearl Harbor, by area

		Per	rent of	AL COLUMN	with a	pecifie	Lemple	general	etatio	the we	ek hefo	re Pos	d Harb	or emp	doyed is	n 1944	45 in a	perific	Lindus	trial gr	(ettre)	00/
Industrial group	All a	rena	Spring	gfield	Baltin	поте	Buf	Dalo	Day	ton	Det	rolt	Kene	seba	Wiel	hita	Mol	bile	Sea	ttle	Fran	
	School	Own becase- work	School	Own horses work	School	Own house- work	School	Own house wirek	School	Own house- work	School	Own house work	Selocol	Own house- muck	School	Own. houses work	Selsool	Own house- work	School	Own house- sock	School	Own hospe- work
All industries	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Far manufacturing	29	47	37	32	30	43	26	60	26	39	a	70	28	36	'38'	62	17	23	29	34	15.	24
ing	8 15	5.9	16.	17	11	14	6		10 39	. 9	15	3 4	17	10	8.5	1	6 29	X 26	5 23	6 11	- 4 23	13
rade: Retail and wholesale ^p Eating and drinking places.	19 5	12 6	19	13	18	15 6	23 3	0 2	9	8. 5	14	7 2	30	6 4	23	13 11	16 16	17 10	18 4	19	18 8	19
ervice: Personal Other	6.8	87	4 9	4 6	13	7 5	6	6 7	18 5	6	15	12	17	16. 8	4 7	7 3	3 5	6.	3 9	9 10	10	11
ther adustries	10	2	- 8	2	10	4	17	4	5	2	2	1	4		14	2	8.	2	9	6	20	9

Excludes domestic service.

Excludes eating and drinking places.

^{*} Includes transportation, communication, public utilities, finance, insurance, real estate, and other.

The most common accupation in the war period for women who had been engaged in their own housework the week before Pearl Harbor was that of operative, while for war-employed women who had been attending school the week before Pearl Harbor clerical accupations were more common.

Table II-6.—Occupational distribution in 1944-45 of women who were in school or engaged in their own housework the week before Pearl Harbor, by area

		P	'ercent	of won	ien with	npecil	ied em	ployin	mt stat	as the	week b	efore P	eaci Ho	abor o	mploye	d in 19	44-45 i	n speci	ified on	upatie	mal gro	ape
Occupational group	All's	reak	Sprin	gfield.	Baltin	more	Buf	falo	Day	ton	Det	roit	Ken	osha	Wie	bita	Mol	bile	Sea	ttle	Fran	in risco
School house work work	Own house- work	School	Own house- work	School	Own house- work	School	Own house- work	School	Own house- work	School	Own house- work	School	Own house- work	School	Own house- work	Sebool	Own house- work	School	Own house work			
All occupations .	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Clerical and kindred. Sales Operative and kindred. Service. Other?	46 8 31 10 3	21 8 32 16 3	36 9 45 7	14 8 64 13 1	44 6 34 9 7	22 8 53 14 3	44 10 29 9 8	22 5 60 11 2	54 5 29 8 4	23 3 52 20 20 2	15 8 31 7	12 5 67 15 1	30 9 53 2 6	9 6 60 19 6	32 3 24 14 5	19 9 54 18	37 11 29 22 1	25 14 38 20 3	54 8 21 11 6	29 11 37 15 8	60 10 13 13 4	31 11 31 20 6

^{*} Excludes domestic service.

* Includes professional, semiprofessional, and other

A larger proportion of the wamen employed in the war period in consumer-manufacturing industries planned to continue work than did women employed in industries manufacturing for war purposes.

Table II-7.—Percent of women employed in the war period in specified industrial groups who planned to continue work, by area

	Per	wat	of w	plan	in a	peciñ o con	ed in tique	dustr	al gr	orthe	who
Industrial group											80
	All arres	Springfield	Baltimore	Buffalo	Dayton	Detroit	Kenosha	Wichita	Mobile	Seattle	San Francisco
Manufacturing: War	68 79	50 86	76 84	72 93	75 76	72 88	71 65	50 71	73 84	52 67	62 77
Government	778	83	82	80	82	78	(1)	(4)	86	66	64
Transportation, etc.	277	90	77	71	(9)	83	(1)	(1)	83	59	74
Trade: Retail and wholesale! Eating and drinking places.	77 773	84 70	81 78	91 78	92 72	87 77	70	61 67	78 92	60	70 60
Service: Personal Other	(8)	83 88	90 81	94	92	85 90	60 87	85 76	90	73 66	86 71

Excludes eating and drinking places.

A larger proportion of the women employed in the war period in professional and semiprofessional occupations, included in the table as "Other", planned to continue work than did women in other occupations.

Table 11-8,-Percent of women employed in the war period in specified occupational groups who planned to continue work, by area

	Por	oent e	of woo	nen i plan	n spe	cified o cont	ocea	pation work	ual gr	outs	who
Occupational group	All areas	Springfield	Baltimore	Buffalo	Dayton	Detroit	Kensha	Wiebita	Mobile	Seattle	San Francisco
Clerical and kindred Sales. Sales. Operative and kindred. Service. Other*	75 72 72 78 84	88 85 80 78 88	79 76 81 86 83	77 92 79 91 84	79 90 74 84 81	81 81 73 81 91	78 44 74 63 96	59 50 54 73 80	83 79 78 93 90	62 57 58 61 70	68 64 72 70 75

¹ Includes professional, semiprofessional, and other,

² Base too small to justify computation.

⁴ Not available.

Most of the women employed in the war period who expected to remain in the labor force planned to continue work in the same industrial group in which they had been employed in the war period.

Table II-9.—Percent of war-employed women't planning to remain in the labor force who planned to continue work in the same industrial group as their wartime employment, by area

	grou	opu a	nd pl	romer lannir ntino	we to	rema	in in	the !	abor	indu force	who
Industrial group	All areas	Springfield	Baltimore	Buffalo	Dayton	Detroit	Kenosla	Wichita -	Mobile	Seattle	San Francisco
All industries!	86	86	88	89	85	85	92	82	85	87	83
Manufacturing	54 54	87 83	86 89	89 75	88 86	53 79	84 (*)	76 (*)	87 88	77 88	75 87
Retail and wholesale ² . Eating and drinking places	190 178	87 93	85 84	91 89	93 64	86 80	(0)	90 73	93 62	93 80	87
Service: Personal Other	%2 191	67 90	81 95	78 93	70 82	81 96	(*) (*)	90 86	88 85	93 97	86

Most of the women employed in the war period who expected to remain in the labor force planned to continue work in the same occupational group in which they had been employed in the war period. These proportions were particularly high for women who were in clerical and kindred occupations or in professional and semiprofessional occupations.

Table II-10.—Percent of war-employed women's planning to remain in the labor force who planned to continue work in the same occupational group as their wartime employment, by area

	Per	GDA B	nd bl	sentir	ur to	rema	in in	the	ed or labor se gro	cupat force up	who
Occupational group	All areas	Springfield	Baltimore	Buffalo	Dayton	Detroit	Kenosha	Wichita	Mobile	Seattle	San Francisco
All occupations	87	86	89	88	85	86	92	82	84	88	87
Clerical and kindred	781	91 81 84 89 89	92 79 86 83 96	95 97 83 76 90	88 86 88 67 87	85 84 80 91 95	92 (17 (1)	88 71 76 78 91	93 90 78 76 93	92 88 78 85 94	90 91 77 80 90

¹ Excludes women employed in domestic service 2 Includes professional, semiprofessional, and other.
3 Represents areas for which data justified computation.
4 Base too small to justify computation.

Excludes women employed in domestic service.
 Excludes eating and drinking places.
 Represents areas for which data justified computation.

^{*} Base too small to justify computation.

43

The number of women employed in manufacturing in the war period who planned to continue work in the same area was, in each area, very much greater than the number of women employed in monufacturing in the area in 1940. (This does not allow for the fact that some of the women employed in manufacturing in the war period planned to shift to other industries, but had such an allowance been made, the difference would still be very great.)

Table II-11.—Comparison of the number of women's employed in 1940 in specified industrial groups with the number employed in the war period who planned to remain in the area labor force, by area?

				umber e	of wome	n in spec	ified gre	rajie		
	8pri	ngfield	Balt	insee	Bu	ffalo	Das	cton	Det	roit
Industrial group	Employed 1940	Employed in war period and planned to continue work in area	0.10	Em- ployed in war period and planned to con- tinue work in area	Em- ployed 1940	Employed in war period and planned to continue work in area	Em- ployed 1940	Employed in war period and planned to continue work in area	Em- ployed 1940	Em- ployed in war period and planned to con- tinue work in area
All industrice Manufacturing	29,700 15,300 900 19,100 4,400	27,500 2,300 16,100	93,700 26,100 3,900 50,500 13,200	58,200	13,700 1,900 36,700	49,300 2,800 31,600	11,200 1,200	48,700 20,100 12,200 15,000 1,400	46,800 5,500	283,000 148,000 21,000 114,000
			8	umber o	d wome	n in spec	ified gre	наре	77	
	Ker	oceba	Wi	hita	M	obile	Se	attle	San Fr	ancisco
Industrial group	Employed 1940	Employed in war period and planned to continue work in area	Employed 1940	Employed in war period and plannes to con- tinue work in area	Em- ployed 1940	Employed in war period and planned to continue work in area	NO.	Employed in war period and planned to continue work in area	SECTION S	Employed in war period and planned to continue work in area
All industries	3,800	5,500	16,500	24,600	7,800	19,500	54,500	70,200	137,700	167,500
Manufacturing Government Trade and service Other industries	1,400 2,400	2,800	1,200 500 11,900 2,900	13,100	200	5,000	6,200 1,900 36,600 9,800	11,300	5,600	18,500 81,900

Women employed in domestic service were excluded from both 1940 and 1944-45 figures.
2 Does not take into account contemplated postwar industry shifts by the wartime-employed women for Includes transportation, communication, public utilities, finance, insurance, real estate, and other.

PART III. FARNINGS OF WARTIME-EMPLOYED WOMEN

In each of the 10 areas the overage weekly take-home samings of the women employed in the wor period in plants manufacturing war goods considerably sacceded the overage weekly take-home semings of women employed in plants manufacturing consumer goods or in non-memotraturing industries.

Table III-1.—Average weekly take-home earnings of women employed in the war period in selected industrial groups, by area

			Av	resge w	eekly ta	ke-hon	e earnis	igs		
Industrial group	Springfield	Baltimore	Buffalo	Dayton	Detroit	Kenosha	Wichita	Mobile	Sentile	San Francisco
All industries*	\$24.95	\$27.30	\$28.50	827 43	\$34.70	\$28.40	\$29.30	\$24.85	\$31.80	\$32.D
Manufacturing: War Consumer	29.00 23.75	33.80 24.10	33.90 24.50	31:50 26:50	40.33 28.75	31.40 26.45	35.35 26.45	36.80 23.30	38,60 30,10	38.90
Retail and wholesale tradet. Hotels. Esting and drinking places. Lauretries	18.20	25.30	20.05	18,25	24 .45	21.50	21.15	19.45	26,40 24,85 31,50 29,10	37.60

Excludes domestic service.

Excludes eating and drinking places.

In each area the overage weekly take-home earnings of women employed an operatives or clarical weekers in factories making war goods exceeded the overage weekly takene earnings of women employed in corresponding occupations in factories making consumer goods. Moreover, in all but one even the overage weekly take-home earnings of women employed as derical workers in our factories exceeded the overage weekly take-home earnings of women employed or derical workers in our factories exceeded the overage weekly take-home earnings of women employed as operatives in factories making cannuare goods.

Table III-2.—Average weekly take-home earnings of women employed in the war period as operatives and clerical workers in factories making war goods and in factories making consumer goods, by area

			Av	erage w	eekly to	ke-hou	e earnis	(E)		
Occupation and type of manufacturing	Springfield	Baltimore	Buffalo	Dayton	Detroit	Kenosha	Wichita	Mobile	Seattle	San Francisco
Operatives: War manufacturing Consumer manufacturing.	829.43 24.00	\$31.40 24.00	835.00 24.10	\$32.10 28.10	841.35 27.95	\$33.75 27.43	\$30.50 25.75	\$43.45 22.90	\$39.90	\$42.75 31.45
Clerical: War manufacturing Censumer manufacturing.	26.73 21.73	31.60 24.33	31.00 25.50	28.35 24.30	35.65 29.35	22,55 20.75	32.75 27.10	30.30 27.00	36.25 26.20	32.66 29.77

PART IV. PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS

The proportion of married women was larger among all women employed in the war period than among the women who planned to continue work. The cross differed widely from each other in the proportions of married, single, and widowed or diversed women, both among all women employed in the war period and among the women who planned to continue women.

TABLE IV-1, -Marital status of women employed in the war period and of women who

		P	ereent	of women	with spec	ified t	ypes of	marite	d status	
	All we	men er	nploge	d in the w	ar periods	Ween	en who	planne	d to ronti	auto work
Area			M	arried!	Widowed			М	arried?	Widowed
	Total	Single	Total	Husband absent, in service		Total	Single	Total	Husband absent, in service	divorced
All areas	100	44	44	11	12	100	51	34	5	1
Springfield. Haltimore Haltimore Bayton Dayton Detroit Kenosha Wielaita Mobile Seattle San Francisco	100 100 100 100 100 100	52 48 50 46 45 59 43 40 31 29	39 41 40 40 45 33 41 47 54	11 12 11 10 10 7 13 9 16 14	9 9 10 14 10 8 14 13 15 16	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	58 53 60 52 53 64 53 45 40 36	32 36 38 32 35 26 31 37 42	5 5 5 1 5 6 7	16 11 16 16 16 20 16 21 22 22

Excludes women employed in domestic service.

Women separated from their husbands because the husbands were in service or for other reasons were complete a married.

A smaller proportion of the married wamen employed in the war period planned to cantinue work than of the single wamen or the widewed or divorced wamen. The proportion of married wamen who planned to cantinue work was particularly low among those who had husbands obsent, in the armed forces.

Table IV-2.—Percent of women employed in the war period who planned to continue work, by marital status and area

	Perces with	specifies	I types	uployed in of marital continue wo	war period status who ek
Area			M	larried	Widowed
	Total	Single	Total	Husband absent, in service	divorced
All areas	75	87	57	37	.91
Springfield Hallimore Hallimore Hallimore Harmore Harmore Harmore Wright Kennolit Wright Saal Saal Saal Saal Saa Francisco	80 78 78 73 61 84 61	93 96 89 90 80 75 95 80 83	65 68 56 62 61 56 38 70 42 53	46 44 40 41 29 (7) 23 45 24 34	98 95 96 92 91 100 87 100 92 93

Women separated from their husbands because the husbands were in service or for other reasons

ere counted as married.

* Base too small to justify computation.

The proportions of the war-employed women in various age groups differed very little from the corresponding proportions among women who planned to centinue work the general, among the women who planned to centinue work there were smaller proportions of women between 20 and 29 years of age and larger proportions 45 years of age and over, than among the steal group of women employed in the war period.

Table IV-3.—Age of women employed in the war period and of women who planned to continue work, by area

			- 1	Percent of women with specified age														
Area	A	l women	ar pe	ploye riod ¹	d in t	Women who planned to continue work!												
	Total	Under 20	20- 29	30- 39	40- 44	45 and over	Total	Under 20	20- 29	30- 39	40- 44	45 and over						
All areas	100	14	40	22	8	16	100	-16	37	22	8	-17						
Springfield Baltimore Buffalo	100 100 100 100	18 18 11 15	34 44 41	19 22 27	867-87-	21 10 14	100 100 100	20 19 13	31 41 43	18 22 23	8 7 8	2 1 1						
Dayton Detroit Kenosha Wichita	100 100	15 15 18	39 44 39 39	22 21 22 19	7 11 6 7	16 13 13 18	100 100 100 100	16 16 15 19 17	36 43 34 34	SHANN	8 6 11 4	2111111111111						
Mobile	100 100 100	17 11 8	42 34 40	23 20 26	11 9	11 24 17	100 100 100	17 11 8	41 31 37	23 20 26	7 11 10	1 2						

¹ Excludes women employed in domestic service.

In general smaller proportions of women employed in the war period between the ages of 20-29 years planned to continue work than did either the older or younger women.

Table IV-4.—Percent of women employed in the war period who planned to continue work, by age and area

Area	Pere	est of wo existed age	men empl who plan	oyed in w med to co	ar period atinue we	with rk
	Total	Under 20	20-29	30-39	40-44	45 and over
All areas	75	80	70	75	75	81
Springfield	83 81 80	93	75 76 85 74 76 63 52 81 55 64	78 83 68 78 77 85 68 84 61 69	82	90
Haltimore	81	93 87 97 83 83 72 66 85	76	83	82 85 85 82 71 78	88
Buffalo	80	97	85	68	85	7
Dayton	78	83	74	78	82	7.88
	18	- 53	70	77	71	8
Wiehita	73	12	63	85	78	8.
Wielsta	61	66	32	68	46	7.
Scattle	- 54	85	81	.54	86	195
San Francisco	- 61	64 72	55	- 61	62	- 9

In five of the nine areas for which race was analyzed, Negro or other non-white women workers constituted at least 10 percent of the total women "employed in the war period. In seven of the nine areas these was a higher propertion of Negro or other non-white played women among the women who planned to centinue to work than among the warenployed women on a whole.

Table IV-5.—Race of women employed in the war period and of women who planned to continue work, by area.

		Percer	at of women	in specific	d groups			
Area	All won	nen emple war perk	iyed in the	Worne	omen who planned to continue work!			
	Total	White	Negro or other non-white	Total	White	Negro or other non-white		
Springfield Baltimore Buffaio Dayton Detroit Wirhits Mobile Seattle Se	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	95 81 96 84 83 94 69 98 90	5 19 4 16 17 6 31 2 10	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	95 78 95 82 81 90 65 98 87	2 10 10 3 3		

Excludes women employed in domestic service.
 Kennsha excluded because base too small to justify analysis.

The proportion of Negro or other non-white women employed in the war period who planned to continue work was considerably higher than the proportion of white women.

Table IV-6.—Percent of women employed in the war period who planned to continue work, by racial group and area

And	period in a	women enq specified ra ned to cont	sloyed in the war scial groups who inue work
	Total	White	Negro or other non-white
Springfeld Battinger helido Defreid Wiehts San Francisco	83 81 80 78 78 61 84 61 69	82 78 79 77 75 60 79 61 67	96 94 100 88 89 71 95 95

¹ Kenosha excluded because base too small to justify analysis.

Education, as measured by the last grade attended in school, did not differ greatly in the individual areas between the total group of women employed in the war period and the women who planned to continue work.

Table IV-7.—Extent of school education of women employed in the war period and of women who planned to continue work, by area

		Percen	t of wor	nen v	those	last	grade	atten	led in s	chool w	TAN DA	speci	fied			
	All w	гоность с	mplaye	d in t	the w	ar pe	boin	Won	nen who planned to continue work							
Area		Grade	school	Hi		Col	loge		Grade	school		igh iord	Col	lege		
	Total	Less 8 Less 4 Le than 8 grades than years tha grades 4 4	Loui than 4 years	or	Total	Less than 8 grades	8 grades	Less than 4 years	yeurs	Less than 4 years	yest					
All areas	100	12	17	25	26	6	4	100	13	17	25	35	.6	3		
Springfield Baltimore Buffalo Dayton Detroit	100 100 100	17 19 13 9	17 17 21 17 18	25 27 29 24 28	31 28 39 40 32	3 4 4 6 4	4 5 3 4 4 5	100 100 100 100 100	18 19 14 10 14	16 18 20 18 17	21 27 29 22 29	34 27 31 40 32	4 4 337- 4 3			
Kenosha Wichita Mobile Senttle San Francisco	100 100 100 100 100	10 4 18 4 10	18 18 13 15	22 23 32 19 22	42 38 29 46 42	11 6 9	6 27	100 100 100 100	10 6 19 5 12	18 18 12 17	23 24 32 19 21	42 36 28 43 40	9 7 8 11			

In general a slightly higher proportion of the women employed in the war period who attended less than eight grades of school planned to continue work than did women with more education.

Table IV-8.—Percent of women employed in the war period who planned to continue work, by extent of school education and area

	Percent	of women e school	mployed in attended v	s the war pe sho planned	riod with to contin	apecified las	t grade e	
Area		Grade	school	High w	lood	College		
	Total	Less than 8 grades	8 grades	Less than 4 years	4 years	Less than 4 years	4 years or more	
All areas	75	83	75	74	74	75	77	
Springfield Baltimore Buffalo Dayton Detroit Kenosha Wichsta Mobile Seattle San Francisco	80	89 85 86 86 73 76 94 80 71 82	80 84 78 82 74 71 61 80 69 70	77 79 81 72 79 75 62 83 62 60	81 79 81 79 79 74 59 82 87 66	94 76 60 86 74 83 50 92 60 70	86 77 86 77 86 77	

PART V. RESPONSIBILITY FOR FAMILY SUPPORT

Most of the women employed in the war period who planned to continue work gave as their reason for such plans the need to support themselves are to support themselves and others. Almost all of the single women and of the widowed or diversed women who planned to continue work stated they must support themselves and others, while a smaller proportion of the metried women who planted to continue work gave this reason.

Table V-1.—Reasons women employed in the war period planned to continue work, by marital status and area

						Per	ment of wo	men gi	ving sp	reified rea	etelae					
Area		To	tal	16		Sis	gle			Ma	riel			Widowed o	or divores	4
Total Control of	Total	Support self or self and others	Like employ- ment only	Other	Total	Support self or self and others	Like employ- ment only	Others	Total	Support self or self and others	Like employ- ment only	Other	Total	Support self or self and others	Like employ- ment only	Other
All sress deringfield haltinore harbina haybin Nephin Nephin Nephin Annohis deable deatle	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	84 89 88 85 86 86 77 82 80 76	8 5 5 10 10 12 12 12 7 6	8 6 7 5 4 21 11 11 11 18	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	96 97 97 97 94 93 99 88 97 98 98	3 1 1 4 2 11 1 1	2 (1) 22 22 22 24 24 22 24 23	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	57 70 75 55 68 49 37 50 47	22 20 12 21 24 23 46 20 16 22 15	N SINTERPOUNKA	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	98 100 99 96 97 100 100 96 100 99 98		

^{*} Includes special reasons, such as buying a home or sending children to school, * Less than one-half of 1 percent.

Most of the women employed in the war period lived in family groups of two or more persons. The proportion was particularly high among married women and higher among single women than among widowed or divorced.

The proportion of employed women who lived in family groups was about the same among women who planned to continue work as among all women employed in the war period.

Table V-2.—Living arrangements among all women employed in the war period and among women who planned to continue work, by marital status and area

		Perce	nt of emp	doyed women two or mo			ly groups	of
Area		All wom	en employ ar period	yed in		Women con	who plans tinus wor	ned to
	Total	Single	Married	Widowed or divorced	Total	Single	Married	Widowed or divorced
All areas	82	77	91	70	81	77	91	70
Springfield Baltimore Buffalu Dayton Detroit Kenosha	86 81 95 73 81 95 75 76	85 75 98 58 78 95 61 64 80 76	92 90 95 91 90 100	68 72 82 71 61 76 74 73	85 81 95 71 80 94 71	85 76 98 58 79 94 58 64 80 76	90 90 95 91 88 100	67 77 67 77 67 67
Wichita Mobile Seattle San Francisco	75 76 83 78	61 64 80 76	100 90 87 90 85	74 73 63 60	71 75 81 77	58 64 80 76	94 87 92 85	7

Of the women employed in the war period whe lived in family groups of two or more persons, a very high proportion contributed regularly toward meeting the expenses of the family. In most crees the proportion of the women who lived in family groups and contributed regularly to family appears was higher among wirdowed or divorced women than among married or single women.

Table V-3.—Percent of women living in family groups who contributed regularly to family expenses, among all women employed in the var period and among tromen who planned to continue work, by marilal status and area

	Percent of employed women living in family groups who contributed regularly to family expenses												
Area	A		n employ ar period	ed in the	,		who plans tinus wor						
	Total	Single	Married	Widowed or divorced	Total	Single	Married	Widowed or divorced					
All areas	92	92	91	96	93	93	91	90					
Springfield Haltimore Bultimore Busifiabo Dayton Busifiabo Busifiabo Busifiabo Busifiabo Busifiabo Mobile Seattle San Francisco	95 96 95 91 92 93 86 87 92 93	95 98 99 90 92 97 81 86 90 95	94 95 90 91 91 89 87 86 91	98 98 91 97 99 85 98 97 98	95 97 95 93 93 97 90 88 94 92	94 98 98 91 93 99 91 85 92	96 95 91 93 91 92 80 87 94	98 90 90 98 98 100 100 100 90 90					

Among the women employed in the wor period who lived in family groups and contributed regularly to family expenses, the proportion contributing less than 50 percent of their take-home earnings to family expenses was considerably greater among single women than among the married or the videower of diversed.

Among the women who planned to continue work, the proportion of women contributing less than 50 percent of their toke-home earnings to family expenses was in most arress slightly greater than among all women employed in the war period; this was due, no doubt, to the higher proportion of single women among those who planned to continue work.

Table V-4.—Percent of women living in family groups and contributing regularly to family expenses who contributed specified proportions of take-home earnings, among all women employed in the war period and among women who planned to continue work, by martial status and area

			1	Percent of	women	contribut	ting specified	proportio	one of th	heir take-	home earning	s to famil	ly exper	mene		
Area			Total				Single				darried			Widowe	ed or divorce	d
	Total	100 percent	50 percent, less than 100 percent	Less than 50 percent	Total	100 percent	50 percent, less than 100 percent	Less than 50 percent	Total	100 percent	50 percent, less than 100 percent	than 30	Total	100 percent	50 percent, less than 100 percent	than 50
						All we	men employe	d in the w	ar persis	4		No.		11111		311
All areas	100	37	22	41	100	14	21	65	100	- 55	21	24	100	46	22	33
Springfield Baltimore Buffalo Dayton Detroit Kenosha Wichita Mobile Seattle San Francisco	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	51 34 43 42 37 32 38 27 26 37	24 30 19 16 17 13 16 32 29 28	25 36 38 42 46 55 46 41 45 35	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	28 19 23 11 15 10 10 6 4 11	37 29 24 17 17 20 11 21 14 20	35 52 53 72 68 70 79 73 82 69	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	74 48 65 61 61 37 36 48	12 30 12 15 16 3 16 37 35 31	14 22 23 24 29 29 20 20 29 21	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	78 43 75 53 51 31 23 33 34 44	8. 32 10 14 16 8 25 42 35 33	14 25 15 33 33 61 52 25 34 23
						B'ome	n who plans	od to conti	sist than	k .						117
All areas	100	35	22	43	100	14	22	64	100	61	19	20	100	46	22	32
Springfield Baltimore Buffalo Dayton Detroit Kenosha Wichita Mobile Seattle San Francisco	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	50 35 39 40 34 33 33 27 24 36	25 29 21 16 17 14 13 30 28 28	23 30 40 44 49 53 54 43 48 36	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	28 19 21 12 16 13 13 7 4	37 29 25 18 18 19 14 21 14 22	35 52 70 66 68 73 72 82 67	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	81 52 68 62 55 83 78 42 29 52	10 30 14 15 17 3 34 39 31	9 15 15 15 15 14 21 24 21 21	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	78 43 74 52 48 31 24 33 32 44	8 31 30 15 17 8 24 42 34 34	14 26 16 33 35 61 52 25 34 27

Among the women employed in the wer period who lived in family groups and conributed regularly to family expenses, the single women in each orea contributed a number proportion of their take-hame comings to the family expenses than did the married women or those who were widewed or divorced.

TABLE V.5.—Percent of take-home carnings contributed to family expenses by women who lived in family groups and candividual regularly to family expenses, among all women employed in the war period and among women who planned to continue work, by marital status and area

	Percer living	in faci	the group	stributed to	lamily buting	reprise	y hy employ ty to fam	gred women ily expenses		
Area			en employ ar period	red in	Women who planned to continue work					
	Total	Single	Married	Widowed ur divorced	Total	Hingle	Married	Widowed or divorced		
All areas	64	46	77	71	62	46	79	71		
Springfield Baltimere Bulfalor Buffalor Detroit Kenonka Wichita Mobile Scattle San Francisco	64 62 57 60 61	63 53 55 42 67 62 34 44 44 45	87 72 82 78 73 77 79 70 69	88 70 84 72 70 60 53 70 66	75 64 65 62 60 47 45 41 41 41	63 53 56 42 45 42 17 44 13	91 72 83 79 74 77 84 72 73	58 70 83 77 68 66 70		

Of the war-employed women who lived in family groups some were the only wage eagues; contributing to family expenses. The proportion of women who were the sole contributing way per carrier was higher among the widowed or diverced women than among the single or married women. In general, the proportion varied very little between the women employed in the war period and those who planned to confinee work.

Table V-6.—Percent of women living in family groups who were the sole contributing wage centers and who were one of two contributing wage centers in the family group, among all women employed in the way period and among women who planned to continue

Area	Percent of employed women with specified positions as wage earners contributing to family expenses									
	All women			Single	3	farried	Widowed or descreed			
	Sole One oth		Sch	One other	Sole One other		Sole Our other			
		All women e	mploye	t in the war	period					
All areas,	14	49	12	40	11	38	34	31		
Springfield	13	42	9	24	36	49	24	15		
		52	11	-66	12	58	28	4		
Buffalo	111	47 54	- 2	39 47	12	61 50	35	3		
Dayton	11	48	9	37	10	.59	31	-4		
Kencelia.	. 14	41	15	40	11	47	(1)			
Wichita	1.5	49	16	33	- 6	68	40	2		
Mobile	15	47 54	12	35	12	38	41	3		
Seattle San Francisco	17	55 55	15	15	13	63	44	- 4		
2-10-0-10		Women who	plane	d to continue	mek			1		
All areas	15	47	12	- 41	12	38	35	1		
Springfield	. 12	42		34	15	34	24	- 3		
Haltimore	. 14	.50	11	46	14	37	- 29			
Buffalet	. 11	44 54	7 6	28 45	12	62	37	2 5 4		
Dayton Detroit	11	34	- 6	28	10	28	33			
Kenosha	14	42	16	42	- 8	46		1 0		
Wichita	. 1%	39	15	- 33	- 4	59	42	0 1 4 4		
Mobile	17	43	11	34	15	54	41 68	1 1		
Seattle San Francisco	22	52 54	17	43	16	65	46	1 3		

[!] Base too small to justify competation,

In half the areas with groups large enough to justify analysis at least a fifth of the women employed in the war period who lived apart from family groups contributed requirity to the support of others. The corresponding proportions among women who planned to continue work did not differ very much from the proportions among all women employed in the way period.

Table V-7.—Percent of women living apart from family groups who contributed regularly to the support of others among all women employed in the war period and among women who planned to continue work, by merital status and area

	Percent of women living apart from family groups who contributed regularly to the support of others									
Arrai	All women employed in war period					Women who planned to continue work				
	Total	Single	Married	Widowed or divorced	Total	Single	Married	Widowed or divorced		
Springfield Baltimore Dayton Detroit Wichita Mobile Seattle Sas Francisco	1.56	8 25 19 32 9 33 5 16	(r) 385 14 23 56 23 19	(7) 26 19 33 15 41 14 24	9 28 20 31 11 41 13 23	8 26 20 32 11 36 5 18	(F) 39 (C) 24 58 (C) 29	00 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3		

In two areas, Buffalo and Kenosha, the group of women who lived apart from family groups was too small to justify analysis.
I have too small to justify computation.

In most areas between 10 and 15 percent of the women employed in the wor period reported that they or their family groups had some income from sources other than wages or service allotments.

Table V-8.—Percent of women employed in the war period who reported income from sources other than wages or service allotments, by area

	Percent of women					
Area	Total	With additional income	With no additional income			
All areas (consided. Institution Italiano Italia	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	13 14 13 10 11 9 16 9 15 15	87 89 80 90 90 91 81 91 81 81			

^{*} Hase too small to justify computation

APPENDIX 55

A substantial proportion of the women living in family groups had children of their own under 14 years of age in the household.

Table V-0.—Percent of women employed in the war period and living in family groups who had children of their own under 14, years of ope in the household, and percent married, widowed, or divorced women who had children of their own in the household,

	Percent of war-employed women living in family groups with own									
Area	All won	nen employe period	d in the war	Married, widowed or divorced women employed in the was period						
	Total	With own children under 14 in household	No children of own under 14 in house- hold	Total	With own children under 14 in household	No children of own under 14 in bouse- hold				
All areas	100	20	50	100	32	68				
Springfield Baltimore Bullino Bullino Bullino Detroit Kenosha Wichita Mobile Seattle San Francisco	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	16 21 13 18 20 13 28 22 22 22	84 79 87 82 80 87 72 78 78 77	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	72 63 73 64 65 66 68 66 66				

Over half the war-employed women who had children of their own under 14 years of age in the household had only one child.

Table V-10.—Percent of war-employed women living with own children who had specified number of own children in household, by area

Area	Percent of women living with own children under 14 years of age with specified number of children						
Area	Total	1 child	2 children	3 or more children			
All areas	100	57	28	15			
Springfield Baltimore.	100 100	57 58	29 26	14 16			
Buffalo Dayton	100	50	28	12			
Detroit Kenoshs Wichita	100	58	23	19			
Months South	100	54	22 27	24			
San Francisco	100	62	27	n			

About half of the war-employed women living with children of their own under 14 years of age arranged for the care of the children by relatives in the household. Other arrangements for caring for children varied widely.

Table V-11.—Arrangements for care of children under 14 years of age of war-employed somen who lived with own children, by area

	Percent of women with children of their own in the household providing specified types of care										
Area	Total Husband on other shift than wife	Older school children	Other relative in house- hold	Relative outside house- hold	Maid in house- hold	Neigh- bor	Nursery achool	Other	No care while worker is absent		
All areas	100	12	3	36	11	-4	7	3	4	10	
Springfield Baltimore Buffalo Dayton Detroit Kenosha	100 100 100	18 7 9 7 16 38	5 8 2 12	32 39 38 45 40	9 8 21 10 15 15	2 6 8 7	6 13 5 7 7	8 5 1 5 2	9 8 5	1 1 1 1	
Michita Mobile Scattle San Francisco	100	6 4 8 8	14 2 3 1	40 12 35 42 42 42 30	8 14 2 7	6 5 1 2	8 9 7 9	1 5 9	1000	1 2 1 2 2 2	

[☆] 8. 0. 00/1200101 PRINTING OFFICE: 1940—458204

